

Template for comments and convener's observations

Date: 2026-04-10

Document: TC1_P3_N012

Project: TC 1/p 3 Revision of G 18

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0001 FR		general			Some recommendations are not mentioned in the CD. Examples: R022 - International alcoholometric tables hasn't been taken into account and there is any definition about "the alcoholic strength by mass" R084 - Platinum, copper, and nickel resistance thermometers (for industrial and commercial use) hasn't been taken into account and there is any definition about "resistance thermometer" R 80-2 (2017), definitions of: "cut-off point" and "residual volume".	Is it a choice ?	Missing entries have been added
0002 FR		general			Some recommendations are mentioned in the CD but not all the definitions are not included in G18: For example : in R123, the definitions of the "accuracy" (3.1), "detection limit" (3.2) and "detector" (3.3) are included but not "Working concentration range" (3.4)," Repeatability" (3.5), "Energy resolution" (3.6), "Sensitivity" (3.7), "Reference material" (3.8), "Background" (3.9).	Is it a choice ?	Missing entries have been added
0003 FR		general			The comments made by France have been detailed for some recommendations used in French regulation.	The same work should be done on all the recommendations.	Noted. The comment needs some clarification because it is not quite clear what convener should do.
0004 DE		general		G	This paper is very important and was a huge amount of work! Thanks a lot for this compilation!		Thank you for this comment. The work was not easy because the definitions the definitions were not edited in the same way.
0005 DE		general		ed	At several places in the text a wrong reference occurred starting with "Bład!..." (at item no. 32, 34, 198,...)	Change the reference, e.g. "Table 4.4.1" or whatever.	Noted. Appropriate corrections have been made.
0006 DE		general		ed	Sometimes the aim of column "Note" is not clear. Starting with "Note" it seems to show the notes given in the referred 'Definition' text. Sometimes it is an explanation of the use of terms within the recommendation.	Change the title of the column "Notes" into "Remarks" to avoid misunderstanding. May it be reasonable to explain the content of this column in the 'Explanatory Note' at the beginning?	The text in the column in question is either notes or a text which is a "continuation" of definition and in fact should be entitled "notes" by the author of the publication.
0007 DE		general		Ed / t	What kind of ID shows the column with the respective name? Is this a necessary information for the user in addition to the item number?	Delete the column if not needed for the user of the guide or explain the meaning of "ID" in the 'Explanatory Note' at the beginning.	Noted. Appropriate explanation has been added to the explanatory note.

1 Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0008 DE		general		Ed / t	At some definitions out of R146 and R147 curly brackets are included in the text.	In these cases, the reference given in 'Reference' seems not to be easy understandable. As an example (item 48.), in R146 the reference '1.2' refers to the definition in chapter 2.1, Table 1, entry 2, without any curly brackets. Delete the curly brackets and change the reference. Probably a remark could be added, that definitions in table format cannot be referred to in a proper way and should be avoided.	The curly brackets are used throughout the text when instead of definition there is a reference to another publication. For the convenience of the reader the definition is quoted in the guided and the curly brackets inform that the definition was not printed in the publication.
0009 NL-1		general		ge	If sufficiently updated this guide is very useful for the work in the OIML projects and any revision of vocabularies. It is shown that already quite some work has been done by the convener in optimizing the guide. To be able to deal with the large number of records the .pdf file was converted to a .xls and search and filtering techniques have been used to optimize efficiency during review. If the convener would like to make use of this excel file it can be made available for helping during the further drafting process.		Thank you for the advice. The proposal will be considered by the convener and the BIML.
0010 NL-2		general		ge	Considering the underneath comments probably still quite some work to be done on the revisions of the OIML guide G 18 in order to provide a sufficiently updated version of the guide. It therefore may be considered to use the PG workspace environment to prepare intermediate working drafts and discuss with the Project Group members.	I is suggested to involve the Project Group members in the further development of the revision and to use the PG workspace environment for that purpose.	Thank you for the advice. The proposal will be considered by the convener and the BIML.

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0011 NL-4		general		ge	<p>During the development of the newest VIML it was already noticed that quite a few terms defined in the OIML publications and thus implemented in G 18 are rather in conflict with the contents and lay-out requirements for terms and definitions as laid down in OIML B6-2 (4.6 and Annex A) which requirements are in agreement with those used in other standardization organisations (e.g. ISO and IEC) In the period of time during development and after the publication of the VIML most of the OIML Project Groups have paid more attention to what is required according to OIML B 6-2 and thus adapted the terminology in their drafts. Moreover the CIML 2011 resolution 24 has provided a good guidance on use of the terms as defined in the VIM and VIML. In order to optimize the usefulness of the guide during new projects and in order to prevent for copying terms and definitions that do not fit the above mentioned requirements it is advised to mark those records in the guide that do not agree the requirements and to which efforts should be paid to amend such to fit OIML B 6-2.</p> <p>Out of te total number of records of 2376 in the present draft about 365 of the definitions start with an article, (See NL-5 for the record numbers) which is a minor conflict.</p> <p>About 190 however have more severe conflicts. 26 of which cannot be considered a definition of a term (because these e.g. contain requirements (See NL-6 for the record numbers) and 155 definitions do not restrict to only one phrase being the substitute of the term. (See NL-7 for the record numbers)</p>	<p>It is suggested to indicate at each of the records of the terms and definitions whether these in conflict with OIML B6-2.</p> <p>Maybe also some solutions could be provided in the new G 18</p> <p>The article issue can be solved easily. The extra phrases often can be converted into notes Of course where it concerns requirements these subclauses should be moved to a different clause of the draft publication.</p>	Accepted. The implementation of the proposal will be considered by the convener and the BIML.

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0012 NL-3		specific; see proposed change column		ge	<p>Although a number of revisions of Recommendations have yet been taken into account in this CD still some of the latest have not been implemented yet. Implementing the new versions will provide a guide showing that there already is a far better harmonisation of terms and definitions realized in the OIML publications It concerns the publications OIML D31 (in revision; terminology is an issue; the document will be brought in agreement with OIML B6-2 requirements) OIML R 60 publication 2017 OIML R 80 publication 2017 OIML D 30 (in revision) OIML R 61 (approved for publication in 2017) OIML R 50 publication 2014 OIML R 10 which has been withdrawn. OIML R 39 which has been withdrawn OIML R 29 for approval at CIML 2018 thus most probably published in 2019 OIML D 15 which has been withdrawn</p> <p>The total number of records in the CD involved in the above mentioned publications is 328 of a total of 2376</p>	<p>Please adapt the draft to the actual stages of development of the publications as mentioned by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - deleting all terms related to withdrawn publications - adapting all terms to the newest versions of the revised publications - monitoring the developments in running projects, of which the publications may soon come to a final stage of approval. <p>The records concerned are: 16; 25; 43; 45; 74; 89; 97; 108; 117; 122; 123; 137; 147; 157; 161; 166; 168; 180; 188; 193; 207; 221; 224; 274; 298; 300; 316; 318; 327; 328; 329; 333; 336; 340; 347; 353; 358; 361; 367; 368; 370; 394; 405; 409; 412; 415; 418; 420; 421; 463; 476; 491; 493; 502; 503; 504; 511; 513; 519; 520; 550; 555; 577; 596; 601; 605; 611; 615; 617; 626; 629; 634; 638; 644; 647; 654; 684; 687; 691; 693; 698; 702; 703; 704; 705; 707; 708; 710; 712; 714; 727; 731; 732; 748; 751; 752; 755; 756; 759; 760; 764; 765; 773; 774; 779; 793; 816; 831; 834; 838; 842; 843; 847; 858; 880; 883; 886; 891; 894; 909; 916; 918; 923; 937; 938; 948; 956; 963; 981; 991; 995; 1000; 1017; 1019; 1032; 1044; 1048; 1074; 1075; 1085; 1087; 1096; 1097; 1098; 1099; 1101; 1106; 1107; 1108; 1109; 1110; 1111; 1112; 1113; 1114; 1115; 1122; 1125; 1133; 1160; 1167; 1170; 1175; 1178; 1179; 1188; 1190; 1191; 1193; 1197; 1203; 1206; 1213; 1216; 1218; 1233; 1272; 1274; 1279; 1317; 1330; 1335; 1336; 1338; 1340; 1345; 1346; 1351; 1361; 1362; 1414; 1443; 1446; 1450; 1453; 1461; 1467; 1468; 1474; 1478; 1490; 1495; 1500; 1509; 1515; 1518; 1528; 1573; 1578; 1600; 1602; 1614; 1626; 1657; 1668; 1672; 1679; 1681; 1713; 1717; 1723; 1725; 1738; 1747; 1749; 1751; 1752; 1753; 1760; 1762; 1770; 1779; 1784; 1800; 1809; 1841; 1842; 1843; 1844; 1852; 1873; 1877; 1882; 1890; 1908; 1910; 1917; 1923; 1947; 1951; 1959; 1965; 1972; 1974; 1978; 1980; 1985; 1989; 1990; 1993; 1994; 1995; 1997; 2008; 2010; 2015; 2018; 2049; 2054; 2057; 2069; 2071; 2075; 2079; 2081; 2084; 2085; 2093; 2094; 2099; 2111; 2112; 2119; 2123; 2128; 2132; 2149; 2155; 2157; 2160; 2162; 2164; 2165; 2175; 2179; 2188; 2191; 2192; 2195; 2206; 2212; 2213; 2214; 2218; 2227; 2240; 2250; 2256;</p>	Accepted.

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
						2260; 2264; 2294; 2296; 2300; 2307; 2308; 2311; 2315; 2316; 2318; 2323; 2333; 2334; 2335; 2341; 2348; 2365; 2367	
0013 UK		general		ge	Considering several OIML Recommendations are currently undergoing revisions, or yet published on the OIML website, such as R50 Arched Chute weighers, R61, etc, this is likely to result in major differences in terms between these OIML Recommendations and the OIML guide G 18	We propose that the Project Group members in ongoing OIML revisions be involved in the further development of the revision and to use the PG workspace environment for that purpose.	
0014 UK		general		ge	With OIML Recommendations being frequently revised, withdrawn, or reconfirmed, it is important to align the OIML G18 only to OIML Recommendations already published on the OIML website.	Please adapt the draft to the actual OIML Recommendations already published on the OIML website by: - deleting all terms related to withdrawn publications - adapting all terms to the newest versions of the revised publications - monitoring the developments in running projects, of which the publications may soon come to a final stage of approval.	Accepted.
0015 JP		1	Explanatory note }	Ge/te	The term 'conventional true value/quantity' appears in many places. Note 1 of 2.12 of VIM (OIML V 2-200: 2012) however does not encourage using such an expression. It is considered in the VIM that the true value is considered as unknowable and instead, a conventional value may be used based on an agreement or a definition. Although this term exists in the present OIML publications, it must be cited with a warning in G 18 in order to avoid further usage in the new or revised OIML publications.	Add a note for warning to each of the applicable terms which mentions that VIM discourages using 'conventional true value/quantity'. If the applicable terms are too many, add a common note to the 'explanatory note'.	The advice contained in the comment will be implemented in course of the terminology validation process. The G18 only shows the terms and definitions used in the OIML Ds and Rs. It does not assess whether they are correct or not.
0016 JP		1. List of terms and its definitions		Ge/ed	There is no explanation of the ID numbers. What they indicate and how are they utilized? It seems that a common number is used to each term in both G 18 (2010) and 1CD.	An appropriate and simple explanation of ID numbers should be added in the explanatory note.	Accepted. An explanation has been added to the Explanatory Note.
0017 JP		1. List of terms and its definitions		Ed	There are still many editorial errors although we could not point out each of them. Most of them might arise from incorrect copying procedure from the original publications.	It would be difficult to fix all these editorial errors only by the convener. Setting up of an international working group to conduct this procedure is recommended.	The convener has proofread the text. A great help were comments and proposals sent by some PG members.

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0018 JP		1. List of terms and its definitions	(no. 32, 34 and others)	Ed	Expressions in Polish still remain in the note of several terms. These expressions should be translated to English or French.	Translate these terms.	Accepted.
0019 FR		16		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. The definition of “acceptable solution” has not been maintained in CD1. Is it a need to keep the old definition if it is not in the future revision?	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	Noted. G 18 is Alphabetical list of terms defined in OIML Recommendations and Documents. As long as a term is contained in a current OIML document it must be shown in G 18. When a new version of D 31 is adopted G 18 will be updated accordingly.
0020 FR		22		ed	1°) The definition and notes are enclosed by curly bracket “{}” 2°) The definition is in the paragraph 2.1.	1°) Please delete the curly bracket 2°) The reference should be “R146-1:2016, 2.1”.	Noted. Curly brackets are used for the text quoted from VIM which R 146 refers to. A mistake in the reference number has been corrected.
0021 FR		25		te	A new version of R60 has been published in 2017. The accuracy class of load cell is not defined in this new version. Is it a need to keep the old definition?	Please homogenise with R60.	Accepted
0022 FR		32		ed	At the end of the additional note there is a reference to another paragraph of the R059.	Please delete “Refer to R 59-2, A.1.2 for the calculation of □ and SDD from measured values. The limiting values for □ and SDD in column 2, Table Błąd! Nie można odnaleźć źródła odwołania. shall be observed in order to deem a calibration as sufficiently accurate.”	Accepted
0023 UK		34	Notes	ed	Sentence in the Notes column needs to be clarified: <i>Note 2:</i> Active energy is usually expressed in kWh or MWh. Refer to Błąd! Nie można odnaleźć źródła odwołania. for requirements on units of measurement.	Please clarify the Note.	Accepted
0024 FR		48		ed	1°) The definition and notes are enclosed by curly bracket “{}” 2°) The definition is in the paragraph 2.1.	1°) Please delete the curly bracket 2°) The reference should be “R146-1:2016, 2.1”.	Noted. Curly brackets are used for the text quoted from VIM which R 146 refers to. A mistake in the reference number has been corrected.
0025 FR		49		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.7 but T.2.7.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.7” by “R144-1:2013, 2.7”.	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0026 FR		59		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.7.1 but T.2.7.2.	Replace "R144-1:2013, 1.7.2" by "R144-1:2013, 2.7.2".	Accepted
0027 FR		72		ed	There are two expressions "analog data processing" in the middle of the sentence. These expressions must be deleted because they are not in the definition in the R51-1:2006.	Please delete the two expressions "analog data processing".	Accepted
0028 FR		74		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of "analog indication" did not exist anymore. Is it a need to keep the old definition?	Please homogenise with new R61 if possible.	Noted. In the latest edition of R 061 there is under R061-1:2017, 3.5.1.3 a definition of analog indication.
0029 FR		76		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition of "analog indication" in R51:2006 : it is not T.4.4.1 but T.4.1.1	Replace "T.4.4.1" by "T.4.1.1".	Accepted
0030 FR		97		te	A new version of R60 has been published in 2017. The "apportionment factor" has been modified to "apportioning factor", there is a different reference for the paragraph and a new definition.	Please replace "apportionment factor" by "apportioning factor", "R060-1:2000, 2.4.2" by "R060-1:2017, 3.7.2" and replace the text of definition by "value of a dimensionless fraction expressed as a decimal (for example, 0.7) representing that portion of an error observed in the (weighing) instrument which is attributed to the load cell alone Note: This value is used in determining the MPE (see 3.7.10)."	Accepted
0031 FR		99		te	In the R134-1:2006, the definition of an apron is in the paragraph T.2.2.1 and the definition is longer.	Please replace "R134-1:2003 T.1.6.1" by "R134-1:2006 T.2.2.1" and add "and that provides a straight, approximately-level, smooth track in the direction of travel of the vehicle being weighed" to the definition as in R134-1:2006.	Accepted
0032 FR		108		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the term "associative (selective combination)" has been replaced by "selective combination weighing instrument" and the definition is slightly different.	Please replace "associative (selective combination)" by "selective combination weighing instrument (AGFI)", "R061-1:2004, T.1.8.1" by R61-1:20XX 3.2.2.1" and change the definition by "AGFI comprising more than one weighing module and which computes an appropriate combination of the loads and combines them to one fill" as in the new revision of R61.	Accepted
0033 FR		114		te	The references of standards ISO9000:2000, 3.9.1 and ISO14001:1996 correspond to the standards mentioned in the D27:2001, 2.15 but now, the definitions of "audit" are in ISO 9000:2015, 3.13.1. Moreover, there are recent revisions of the standards ISO 9001 and ISO 14001 (mentioned in the note).	?	G18 shows terminology as it is presented in current OIML publications regardless of possible revision of other publications to which references are made.

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0034 FR		117		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. The paragraph about audit trail is 3.1.1 (and not 3.1.2) and the definition is slightly different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0035 FR		122		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. The paragraph about authentication is 3.1.2 (and not 3.1.3) and the definition is slightly different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0036 FR		123		ed	A new revision of D31 is in progress. The paragraph about authenticity is 3.1.3 (and not 3.1.4). The definition is the same.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0037 FR		132		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.7.4 but T.2.7.4.	Replace "R144-1:2013, 1.7.4" by "R144-1:2013, 2.7.4".	Accepted
0038 FR		135		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See : T.c.2.1 of R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0039 FR		147		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of an automatic gravimetric filling instrument is in the paragraph 3.2.2 and the definition is slightly different.	Please homogenise with new R61 if possible by replacing "R061-1:2004, T.1.8" by "R61-1:20XX, 3.2.2" and inserting the definition "automatic weighing instrument intended to fill containers with a predetermined and virtually constant mass of product from bulk (including liquid material) by automatic weighing, and which comprises essentially automatic feeding device(s) associated with weighing module(s) and the appropriate control and discharge devices."	Accepted
0040 FR		149		te	The definition is not exactly the same in R134-1:2006.	Replace "R134-1:2003 T.1.3" by "R134-1:2006 T.1.3" and replace the definition by the definition R134-1:2006: "Automatic weighing instrument, having a load receptor (T.2.3) and aprons (T.2.2.1), that determines the vehicle mass (T.3.1.5), axle loads (T.3.1.8), and if applicable the axle-group loads (T.3.1.11) of a road vehicle while the vehicle is crossing over the load receptor of the weighing instrument."	Accepted
0041 FR		157		ed	The revision R50:2014 has replaced the revision R50:1997. The definition is now in paragraph 2.1.2. The definition is the same.	Please replace "R050-1:1997, T.1.2" by "R50-1:2014 2.1.2".	Accepted
0042 FR		158		ed	There is the revision R134:2006 (the definition and the reference are the same).	Please change "R134-1:2003" to "R134-1:2006".	Accepted
0043 FR		161		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is in the paragraph 3.2.1 and the definition is different.	Please homogenise with new R61 if possible and change "R61-1: 2004 T.1.7" to "R61-1: 20XX 3.2.1" and change the definition by "weighing instrument operating without the intervention of an operator and following a predetermined program of automatic processes characteristic for the instrument".	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0044 FR		165		ed	There is the revision R134:2006: the definition is the same but the reference is different.	Please replace “R134-1 :2003 T.2.4.1.3” by “R134-1:2006 T.2.10.4” .	Accepted
0045 FR		166		ed	The reference is different in revision 2014 but the definition is the same.	Please replace “R050-1:1997 T.3.8.1.3” by “R50-1:2014 2.2.6.3”.	Accepted
0046 FR		168		ed	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is in the paragraph 3.3.4.3.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.2.4.3” by “R061-1:20XX 3.3.4.3”.	Accepted
0047 FR		180		ed	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the same definition is in the paragraph 3.4.10.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.3.10” by “R061-1:20XX 3.4.10”.	Accepted
0048 UK		198	Notes	ed	Sentence in the Notes column needs to be clarified: Note: In this Recommendation, the maximum permissible error is a combination of the base maximum permissible error and the maximum permissible error shift as described in Błąd! Nie można odnaleźć źródła odwołania..	Please clarify the Note.	Accepted
0049 FR		207		te	In the revision R50-1:2014, the definition of a belt conveyor is in the paragraph 2.2.2 and the definition is slightly different.	Please replace “R050-1:1997 T.3.2” by “R50-1:2014 2.2.2 and replace the definition by “equipment for conveying the product by means of a belt (e.g. by resting on rollers or idlers turning about their axis, or by other devices)” to have exactly the same definition in the both documents.	Accepted
0050 FR		234		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See: T.c.1 of R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0051 FR		258		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.24 but T.2.24.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.24” by “R144-1:2013, 2.24”.	Accepted
0052 FR		274		te	The reference and the definition are different in revision 2014.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.3.2.1 ” by “R50-1:2014 2.2.2.1” and replace the definition by ”arrangements (commonly idlers) by which the conveyor belt is supported as it approaches and departs the load receptor”.	Accepted
0053 FR		286		ed	1°) The definition and notes are enclosed by curly bracket “{}” 2°) The definition is in the paragraph 2.1.	1°) Please delete the curly bracket 2°) The reference should be “R146-1:2016, 2.1”.	Noted. Curly brackets are used for the text quoted from VIM which R 146 refers to. A mistake in the reference number has been corrected.
0054 UK		286, 1157, 1251, 1260, 1744	Notes	ed	The entire sentence is not wrapped within this column. Bottom paragraphs have dropped out.	Please fit the entire sentence within the column	Accepted
0055 FR		294		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See: T.c.2 of R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0056 FR		300		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. The paragraph about checking facility is 1.3.4 (and not 3.1.5) and the definition is slightly different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	Noted. New edition of D 31 is still under development
0057 FR		303		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.21 but T.2.21.	Replace "R144-1:2013, 1.21" by "R144-1:2013, 2.21".	Accepted
0058 FR		314		ed	In the definition it's written "a clinical electrical thermometer, as covered by this Recommendation, is ...". Clause 314 and clause 315 are the same terms for a same definition. It's a duplication of the definition of "a clinical electrical thermometer".	Please simplify by erasing one of the duplicate definition : - remove "as covered by this recommendation" in the definition - in the column "Reference" write "R115:1995, 2.1" next to "R114:1995, 2.1" - erase the clause 315 Or if you want to keep the two definition, please change "as covered by this recommendation" by "as covered by the recommendation R114:1995".	Noted. G 18 is intended to show every term, every definition and every case when they appear. So even when the same term and definition are repeated a few publication, each case has to be shown separately and has its individual ID number.
0059 FR		315		ed	In the definition it's written "a clinical electrical thermometer, as covered by this Recommendation, is ...". Clause 314 and clause 315 are the same terms for a same definition. It's a duplication of the definition of "a clinical electrical thermometer".	If you choose to keep the 2 definitions of "clinical electrical thermometer" in duplication (clause 314 and clause 315) change by "as covered by the recommendation R115:1995".	Noted. G 18 is intended to show every term, every definition and every case when they appear. So even when the same term and definition are repeated a few publication, each case has to be shown separately and has its individual ID number.
0060 FR		316		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of "closed network" has not been maintained.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	Note. New edition of D 31 is still under development
0061 FR		327		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of "commands" has not been maintained.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	Noted. New edition of D 31 is still under development
0062 FR		328		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of "communication" has not been maintained.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	Noted. New edition of D 31 is still under development
0063 FR		329		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of "communication interface" is different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	Noted. New edition of D 31 is still under development
0064 FR		340		te	A new version of R60 has been published in 2017. The definition of the "compression loading" is in the paragraph 3.2.1.1 and the definition has been modified.	Please replace "R060-1:2000, 2.1.1.1" by "R060-1:2017, 3.2.1.1" and change the definition with "applying a compressive force to the load receptor of a load cell".	Accepted

1 Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0065 FR		353		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is different and is in the paragraph 3.3.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.2.4.3” by “R061-1:20XX 3.3” and change the note with “ In OIML R 61 the term “device” is applied to any part of the AGFI which uses any means to perform one or more specific functions irrespective of the physical realisation e.g. by a mechanism or a key initiating an operation; the device may be a small part or a major portion of the AGFI.”	Accepted
0066 FR		358		te	In the revision R50-1:2014, this definition is in paragraph 2.1.3 and the definition is slightly different.	Please replace R50-1:1997 T.1.3” by “R50-1:2014 2.1.3” and change the definition with “automatic weighing instrument for continuously weighing a bulk product for example on a conveyor belt, without interrupting the movement of the conveyor belt Note: In this Recommendation a continuous totalizing automatic weighing instrument of the conveyor belt type is called a “belt weigher”.	Accepted
0067 FR		361		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is slightly different and is in the paragraph 3.3.1.3.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.2.1.4” by “R061-1:20XX 3.3.1.3” and modify the definition with “device that controls the operation of the feeding process and may incorporate software functions”.	Accepted
0068 FR		365		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition of “control instrument” is in the paragraph T.1.4 and the definition is slightly different.	Please change “R134-1:2003 T.1.5” by “R134-1:2006 T.1.4” and modify the definition by “weighing instrument used to determine the static reference vehicle mass of the reference vehicles and the static single-axle loads of a two-axle rigid reference vehicle. The control instruments used as a reference instrument during testing may be: - separate from the instrument being tested; or - integral, when a static weighing mode is provided by the instrument being tested.”	Accepted
0069 FR		367		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is different and is separated in the paragraphs 3.2.2.4 and 5.13.3.	Please replace “R061- 1:2004, T.1.9” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.2.2.4 and 5.13.3” and change the definition by “weighing instrument used to determine the conventional value of the mass of the test load(s) VIML, 5.08” and “the control instrument defined concerns the weighing instrument used during testing, which could be: a) a separate weighing instrument, or b) the integral weighing module with primary display of the AGFI under test.”	Accepted. A new entry (R061-1:2017, 3.3.11.8) was introduced; the former one is removed.

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0070 FR		368		te	In the revision R50-1:2014, this definition is in paragraph 2.1.6 and the definition is slightly different.	Please replace “R50-1:1997 T.1.5” by “R50-1:2014 2.1.6” and change the definition with “method used to determine the mass of the product used as the test load during product tests Note: This will generally involve the use of a weighing instrument, referred to as the control instrument (see 2.1.10).”	Accepted
0071 FR		370		ed	In the revision R50-1:2014, this definition is in paragraph 2.3.9 (the definition is the same).	Please replace “R50-1:1997 T.4.8” by “R50-1:2014 2.3.9”	Accepted
0072 FR		394		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “correction device” is different and is in the paragraph 3.3.1.3.4.	Please replace “R061- 1:2004, T.2.1.4.4” by “R061- 1:20XX, 3.3.1.3.4” and change the definition with “device which automatically corrects the setting of the AGFI”.	Accepted
0073 FR		405		ed	A new version of R60 has been published in 2017. The definition of the “creep” is in the paragraph 3.7.1 (the definition is the same).	Please replace “R060-1:2000 2.4.1”, 2.” by “R060- 1:2017 3.7.1”	Accepted
0074 FR		409		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “cryptographic certificate” is in paragraph 3.1.6. and the definition is different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0075 FR		412		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “cryptographic means” is in paragraph 3.1.7. and the definition is different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0076 FR		415		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the term is “cumulative weighing instrument”, the definition is in the paragraph 3.2.2.2 and is different.	Please replace “R061- 1:2004, T.1.8.2,” by “R061- 1:20XX, 3.2.2.2” and change the definition by “AGFI comprising one weighing module with the facility to apply more than one weighing cycle for the composition of the desired fill”.	Accepted
0077 FR		421		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “data domain” is in paragraph 3.1.8. and the definition is different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0078 FR		463		ed	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “device-specific parameter” is in the paragraph 3.1.9 (the definition is the same at this stage).	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0079 FR		476		ed	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “digital indication” is in the paragraph 3.5.1.4 (the definition is the same).	Please replace “R061- 1:2004, T.4.1.2” by “R061- 1:20XX, 3.5.1.4”.	Accepted
0080 FR		482		ed	At the end of the definition, there is two references to other paragraphs of the R129.	Please erase the reference to the other paragraphs.	Noted. G18 has to show the current definitions. They must not be modified unless it is done by the relevant TC

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0081 FR		492		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition not included in R 117.1 (2007).	Accepted
0082 FR		494		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition of : Direct selling to the public in R 117-1 (2007) See : T.d.2.	Accepted. The term in R 105 reads "direct sale".
0083 FR		502		te	In the revision R50-1:2014, the definition of "displacement sensing device" does not exist.	Please delete the line in the table.	Accepted
0084 FR		503		te	In the revision R50-1:2014, the definition of "displacement simulating device" is in the paragraph 2.1.11 and the definition is different.	Please replace "R050-1:1997, T.3.8.8" by "R050-1:2014 2.1.11" and the definition by "device used in simulation tests on the continuously totalizing weigher without its conveyor and intended to simulate displacement of the belt by activating the displacement transducer in a similar manner to how it would operate with the conveyor (e.g. by use of a pulse generator or a motor to simulate rotation of a wheel which incorporates the displacement transducer)".	Accepted
0085 FR		504		ed	In the revision R50-1:2014, the definition of "displacement transducer" is in the paragraph 2.2.10.2 (the definition is the same).	Please replace "R050-1:1997, T.3.5" by "R50-1:2014, 2.2.10.2".	Accepted
0086 FR		513		te	A new version of R60 has been published in 2017. The definition of the "disturbance" is in the paragraph 3.8.1 and the definition has been modified.	Please replace "R060-1:2000," by "R060-1:2017, 3.8.1" and change the definition with "influence quantity having a value within the limits specified in the relevant Recommendation, but outside the specified rated operating conditions of a measuring instrument".	Accepted
0087 FR		515		ed	There is the revision R134-1:2006.	Replace "R134-1:2003" by "R134-1:2006".	Accepted. The new definition slightly differs from the former one.
0088 FR		519		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of "disturbance" is in the paragraph 3.6.1.2 and the definition is slightly different.	Please replace "R061- 1:2004, T." by "R061- 1:20XX, 3.6.1.2" And replace the definition by "influence quantity having a value within the limits specified in OIML R 61 but outside the rated operating conditions of the measuring instrument. VIML, 5.19 "	Accepted
0089 FR		520		te	In the revision R50-1:2014, the definition of "disturbance" is in the paragraph 2.5.1.12 and the definition is different.	Please replace "R050-1:1997, T.6.1.2" by "R50-1:2014 2.5.1.12 and the definition by "influence quantity having a value within the limits specified in this Recommendation but outside the rated operating conditions of the measuring instrument [OIML D 11, 3.13.2]".	Accepted
0090 FR		527		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.21 but T.2.21.	Replace "R144-1:2013, 1.21" by "R144-1:2013, 2.21".	Accepted. The correct number is 2.29.

1 Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0091 UK		528	Notes	ed	Sentence in the Definition column needs to be clarified: influence quantity having a value within the limits specified in this Recommendation, but outside the specified rated operating conditions of the measuring instrument [OIML D11, 3.13.2 Błąd! Nie można odnaleźć źródła odwołania.]	Please clarify the sentence within the column	Accepted
0092 FR		530		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See: T.d.3 of R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0093 FR		547		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition not included in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0094 FR		548		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition of : Durability for electronic devices in R 117-1 (2007) ; See : T.d.4.	Accepted
0095 FR		555		ed	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “durability” is in the paragraph 3.1.10 and the end of the definition is [OIML V 1:2013, 5.15] and not [OIML D 11:2004, 3.17].	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0096 FR		560		ed	There is the revision R134-1:2006 (same reference and same definition).	Replace “R134:2003, T.3.6” by “R134-1:2006, T.3.6”.	Accepted
0097 FR		564		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition not included in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0098 FR		573		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition not included in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0099 FR		574		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition of : Endurance test in R 117-1 (2007) ; See : T.e.3.	Accepted
0100 FR		577		te	In the revision R50-1:2014, the definition of “durability test” is in the paragraph 2.6.3 and the definition is quite different.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.7.4” by “R050-1:2014 2.6.3” and the definition by “test intended to verify whether the EUT is able to maintain its performance characteristics over a period of use [OIML D 11, 3.20.4]”.	Accepted
0101 FR		582		ed	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition is the same but in paragraph T.3.1.13.	Please replace “R134:200, T.3.1.5” by “R134-1:2006, T.3.1.13”.	Accepted

1 Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0102 FR		595		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition not included in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0103 FR		596		te	A new version of R60 has been published in 2017. The definition of the “electronic component” does not exist.	Please delete the line.	Accepted
0104 FR		597		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition is in T.2.4.3 and is slightly different.	Replace “R134-1:2003, 2.1.3.1” by “R134-1:2006, T.2.4.3” and modify the definition with “smallest physical entity that uses electron or hole conduction in semiconductors, gases, or in a vacuum.”	Accepted
0105 FR		601		ed	In the revision R50-1:2014, the definition of “electronic component” is in the paragraph 2.2.3.3 (same definition).	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.3.3.3” by “R050-1:2014 2.2.3.3”.	Accepted
0106 FR		605		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “electronic component” does not exist.	Please delete the line.	Accepted
0107 FR		609		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition is not included in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0108 FR		611		te	In the revision R50-1:2014, the definition of “electronic device” is in the paragraph 2.2.3.1 and is slightly different. The note is different.	Please replace R50-1:1997, T.3.3.1” by “R50-1:2014, 2.2.3.1” , change the definition with “device employing electronic sub-assemblies and performing a specific function. Electronic devices are usually manufactured as separate units and are capable of being tested independently and the note with” Note 1: An electronic device may be a complete measuring instrument (for example: counter scale, electricity meter) or a part of a measuring instrument (for example: printer, indicator). Note 2: An electronic device may be a module in the sense that this term is used in OIML B 3 OIML Basic Certificate System for Measuring Instruments”	Accepted
0109 FR		615		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “electronic device” does not exist.	Please delete the line.	Accepted
0110 FR		617		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “electronic device” does not exist.	Please delete the line.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0111 FR		619		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition is in T.2.4.1 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R134-1 :2003, T.2.2” by “R134-1 :2006, T.2.4.1” and modify the definition with “device comprised of electronic sub-assemblies and that performs a specific function. An electronic device is usually manufactured as a separate unit and may be capable of being independently tested.”	Accepted

1 Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0112 FR		626		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “electronic instrument” does not exist.	Please delete the line.	Accepted
0113 FR		629		te	In the revision R50-1:2014, the definition of “electronic instrument” does not exist but there is a different definition for “electronic measuring instrument”.	Please replace “electronic instrument” by “electronic measuring instrument”, “R50-1:1997, T.1.4” by “R50-1:2014, 2.2.3” and change the definition with “instrument intended to measure an electrical or non-electrical quantity using electronic means and/or equipped with electronic devices [OIML D 11]”.	Accepted
0114 FR		630		ed	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition is in T.2.4 and is the same.	Please replace “R134:2003, T.1.4” by “R134:2006, T.2.4”.	Accepted
0115 FR		634		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “electronic measuring instrument” is in 3.1.11 and is slightly different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0116 FR		636		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition is not included in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0117 FR		639		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition is not included in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0118 FR		641		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition is in T.2.4.2 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R134:2003, T.2.2.1” by “R134:2006, T.2.4.2” and the definition by “part of an electronic device comprised of electronic components and that has a recognizable function of its own.”	Accepted
0119 FR		642		ed	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the same definition is in the paragraph 2.2.3.2.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.3.3.2” by “R050-1:2014, 2.2.3.2”.	Accepted, but this remark is true for clause 644
0120 FR		647		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “electronic sub-assembly” does not exist.	Please delete the line.	Accepted
0121 FR		675		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it’s not T.1.13 but T.2.13.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.13” by “R144-1:2013, 2.13”.	Accepted
0122 FR		678		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See : T.e.4.1 of R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0123 FR		679		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition is slightly different.	Please replace “R134:2003, T.4.2.1” by “R134:2006, T.4.2.1” and the definition by “indication of an instrument minus the (conventional) true value. [VIM 5.20]”.	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0124 FR		684		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “error of indication” is in the paragraph 3.1.13 and is different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0125 FR		687		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of “error of indication” is in the paragraph 2.4.5 and is different.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.5.1” by “R050-1:2014, 2.4.5” and the definition by “indication minus a reference quantity value Note: This reference value is sometimes referred to as a (conventional) true quantity value. [VIML, 4.06]”.	Accepted
0126 FR		691		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “error log” is in the paragraph 3.1.14 and is different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0127 FR		698		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “error of indication” does not exist.	Please delete the line.	Accepted
0128 FR		700		ed	Table in the note isn't visible.	Please make visible the table or delete it.	Accepted
0129 UK		700, 766	Notes	ed	The table inserted in the Notes column does not fit	Please fit (wrapped) table within the column.	Accepted
0130 FR		701		ed	A reference to another paragraph was insert.	Please delete “See Błąd! Nie można odnaleźć źródła odwołania. for the error shifts associated with grain moisture meter testing”.	Noted and correction made. The definition remains as it is given in R059-1:2013.
0131 FR		704		ed	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “event” is in 3.1.15.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0132 FR		705		ed	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “event counter” is in 3.1.16.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0133 FR		710		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “event counter” is in 3.1.17 and is different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0134 FR		712		ed	A new version of R60 has been published in 2017. The definition of the “expanded uncertainty” is in the paragraph 3.7.4 (and the definition is the same).	Please replace “R060:2000, 2.4.3” by “R060:2017, 3.7.4”	Accepted
0135 FR		724		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117	Definition of : significant fault in R 117-1 (2007) See: T.f.1	Accepted
0136 FR		729		ed	There are 3 notes after the definition.	Please add the note in column notes.	Accepted
0137 FR		730		ed	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition of “fault” is in the paragraph T.4.2.6 and there are 2 notes after the definition.	Please replace “R134-1:2003, T.4.2.4” by “R134-1:2006, T.4.2.6” and organise the note as the new revision.	Accepted

1 Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0138 FR		731		te	A new version of R60 has been published in 2017. In this revision, the definition of “fault” is in paragraph 3.7.5 and is different.	Please replace “R060:2000, 2.4.4” by “R060:2017, 3.7.5” and the definition by “difference between the error of indication and the intrinsic error of a measuring instrument”.	Accepted
0139 FR		732		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “fault” is in paragraph 3.5.2.5 and there is a note 2.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.4.2.5” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.5.2.5 and add the “Note 2: From the definition it follows that a “fault” is a numerical value which is expressed either in a unit of measurement or as a relative value, for instance as a percentage.”	Accepted
0140 FR		736		ed	Table in the note isn’t visible.	Please make visible the table or delete it.	Accepted
0141 FR		741		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it’s not T.1.16 but T.2.16.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.16” by “R144-1:2013, 2.16”.	Accepted
0142 FR		748		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of “fault” is in the paragraph 2.4.5.3 and is different.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.5.4” by R 50-1:2014, 2.4.5.3” and the definition by “difference between the error of indication and the intrinsic error of a measuring instrument [OIML D 11, 3.7].”	Accepted
0143 FR		751		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “fault” is in paragraph 3.1.18 and is different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0144 FR		752		ed	A new version of R60 has been published in 2017. In this revision, the definition of “fault detection output” is in 3.7.6 and is the same.	Please replace “R060-1:2000, 2.4.5” by “R060-1:2017, 3.7.6”	Accepted
0145 FR		755		ed	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “feed control device” is in 3.3.1.3.1, the definition is the same.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.2.1.4.1” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.3.1.3.1”.	Accepted
0146 FR		756		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “feeding device” is in 3.3.1.2 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.2.1.3” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.3.1.2 and the definition by “device which provides a supply of product from bulk to the weighing module that may operate in one or more stages”.	Accepted
0147 FR		759		ed	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “fill” is in 3.1.2 (same definition).	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.1.3” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.1.2”.	one load, or more loads combined, that make up the predetermined mass.
0148 FR		760		ed	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “fill setting device” is in 3.31.3.2 (same definition).	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.2.4.1.2 by “R061-1:20XX, 3.3.1.3.2”	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0149 FR		764		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “final feed cut-off device” is in 3.3.1.3.3 and is different.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.2.1.4.3” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.3.1.3.3” and the definition by “device which controls the cut-off of the final feed so that the average value of the mass of the fills corresponds to the preset value and may include a correction device for the material feed into the weighing module.”	Accepted
0150 FR		765		ed	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “final feed time” is in 3.4.6 (same definition).	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.3.6” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.4.6”	Accepted
0151 FR		773		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “fixed legally relevant software part” does not exist.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0152 FR		774		ed	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of “flow indicating device” does not exist but there is the definition of “flowrate indicating device”. This definition is in 2.4.2.2 (same definition).	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.3.8.4” by “R 50-1:2014, 2.4.2.2”, “flow indicating device” by “flowrate indicating device”.	Accepted
0153 FR		779		ed	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of “flowrate regulating device” is in the paragraph 2.2.8 (same definition).	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.3.8.6” by “R 50-1:2014, 2.2.8”.	Accepted
0154 FR		792		ed	In the revision R134-1:2006, the term is written with a dash (full-draught weighing).	Please replace “R134-1:2003” by “R134-1:2006” and write “full-draught weighing”.	Accepted
0155 FR		796		ed	-There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.1 but T.2.1 - The note is not totally visible	- Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.1” by “R144-1:2013, 2.1” -Please make the note visible.	Accepted
0156 FR		798		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.4 but T.2.4.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.4” by “R144-1:2013, 2.4”.	Accepted
0157 FR		803		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.6 but T.2.6.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.6” by “R144-1:2013, 2.6”.	Accepted
0158 FR		807		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.22 but T.2.22	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.22” by “R144-1:2013, 2.22”.	Accepted
0159 FR		816		ed	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of “general totalization indicating device” is in the paragraph 2.4.2.4 (same definition).	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.3.7.1” by “R 50-1:2014, 2.4.2.4”.	Accepted
0160 FR		831		ed	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “hash function” is in the paragraph 3.1.19 (same definition).	Please homogenise with D31 if possible	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0161 FR		834		ed	A new version of R60 has been published in 2017. The definition of the “humidity symbol” is in the paragraph 3.4.1 (same definition).	Please replace “R060-1:2000, 2.2.2” by “R060-1:2017, 3.4.1”.	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0162 FR		838		te	A new version of R60 has been published in 2017. The definition of the “hysteresis error” is in the paragraph 3.7.7 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R060-1:2000, 2.4.6” by “R060-1:2017, 3.7.7” and the definition by “difference in load cell output readings for the same applied force between the reading obtained by increasing the load from minimum load (D min), and the reading obtained by decreasing the load from maximum load (D max)”.	Accepted
0163 FR		843		ed	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the term is “load receptor inclusive of conveyor”, the same definition is in the paragraph 2.2.1.2.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.2.1.2” by “R 50-1:2014, 2.2.1.2”.	Accepted
0164 FR		853		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See : T.i.1 of R117-1 (2007)	Accepted
0165 FR		858		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “indicating device (of a weighing instrument)” is in the paragraph 3.3.3. The definition is slightly different.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.2.3” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.3.3” and the definition by “part of the load measuring device that displays the value of a weighing result in units of mass and may additionally e.g., display the: • differences between mass of a load and some reference value, • value of the mass of the fill(s) and /or related quantities, • parameters of a number of consecutive weighings”.	Accepted
0166 FR		864		ed	Clause 864 and clause 865 are the same terms for a same definition. It’s a duplication of the definition of “indicating unit”.	Please simplify by erasing one of the duplicate definition : - erase one of the clause 864 or 865 - in the column “Reference” write “R114:1995, 2.4, R115:1995, 2.3”.	
0167 FR		886		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “influence factor” is in the paragraph 3.6.1.1 and is different.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.5.1.1” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.6.1.1” and the definition by “influence quantity having a value within the rated operating conditions of a measuring instrument VIML, 5.18”	Accepted
0168 FR		889		ed	There is the revision R134-1:2006.	Please replace “R134-1:2003” by “R134-1:2006”.	Accepted
0169 FR		891		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of “influence factor” is in the paragraph 2.5.1.1 and is different.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.6.1.1” by “R 50-1:2014, 2.5.1.1” and the definition by “influence quantity having a value within the specified rated operating conditions of the measuring instrument [OIML D 11, 3.13.1] [4] Note: The variation of an indication as a consequence of an influence factor is considered an error and not a fault.”	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0170 FR		894		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of “influence factor” is in the paragraph 3.8.2 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R060-1:2000, 2.5.1.2” by “R060-1:2017, 3.8.2” and the definition by “influence quantity having a value which ranges within the rated operating conditions of a measuring Instrument (For notes, refer to the VIML)”.	Accepted
0171 FR		898		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See: T.i.3 of R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0172 FR		907		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See: T.i.2 of R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0173 FR		916		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of “influence quantity” is in the paragraph 3.8.3 and is different.	Please replace “R060:2000, 2.5.1” by “R060-1:2017, 3.8.3” and the definition by “quantity that, in a direct measurement, does not affect the quantity that is actually measured, but that affects the relation between the indication and the measurement result (For examples and notes, refer to the VIM).”	Accepted
0174 FR		918		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “influence quantity” is in the paragraph 3.6.1 and is different.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.5.1” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.6.1” and the definition by “quantity that, in a direct measurement, does not affect the quantity that is actually measured, but affects the relation between the indication and the measurement result VIM 2.52”.	Accepted
0175 FR		923		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of “influence quantity” is in the paragraph 2.5.1 and is different.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.6.1” by “R050-1:2014, 2.5.1” and the definition by “quantity that, in a direct measurement, does not affect the quantity that is actually measured, but that affects the relation between the indication and the measurement result [VIM, 2.52]”.	Accepted
0176 FR		925		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.17 but T.2.17.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.17” by “R144-1:2013, 2.17”.	Accepted
0177 FR		938		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “initial intrinsic error” is in the paragraph 3.5.2.3 and is different.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.4.2.3 by “R061-1:20XX, 3.5.2.3” and the definition by “intrinsic error of a measuring instrument as determined prior to performance tests and durability evaluations VIML, 5.11 [2]”.	Accepted
0178 FR		939		ed	There is the revision R134-1:2006.	Please replace “R134-1:2003” by R134-1:2006”.	Accepted
0179 FR		948		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of “initial intrinsic error” is in the paragraph 2.4.5.2 and is different.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.5.3” by “R050-1:2014, 2.4.5.2” and the definition by “intrinsic error of a measuring instrument as determined prior to performance tests and durability evaluations [OIML D 11, 3.8]”.	Accepted

1 Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0180 FR		963		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “initial zero-setting device” is in the paragraph 3.3.4.4 and is different.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.2.4.4” by R061-1:20XX, 3.3.4.4” and the definition by “device for setting the indication to zero automatically at the time the instrument is switched on or reset and before it is ready for use”.	Accepted
0181 FR		971		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition of “in-motion test” is different.	Please replace “R134-1:2003” by “R134-1:2006” and the definition by “test with reference vehicles that are in motion on the load receptor to determine an error or deviation”.	Accepted
0182 FR		973		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it’s not T.1.5 but T.2.5	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.5” by “R144-1:2013, 2.5”.	Accepted
0183 FR		981		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of “instantaneous load indicating device” is in the paragraph 2.4.2.1 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.3.8.3” by “R050-1:2014, 2.4.2.1” and the definition by “device that indicates the percentage of the maximum capacity, Max, or the mass of the load acting on the weighing module at a given time.”	Accepted
0184 FR		986		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it’s not T.1.23 but T.2.23.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.23” by “R144-1:2013, 2.23”.	Accepted
0185 FR		991		ed	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “integrity of programs, data, or parameters” is in the paragraph 3.1.20 (same definition).	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0186 FR		995		ed	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “interface” is in the paragraph 3.1.21.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0187 FR		998		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See: T.c.2.3 of R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0188 FR		1008		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it’s not T.1.10 but T.2.10.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.10” by “R144-1:2013, 2.10”.	Accepted
0189 FR		1011		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See: T.i.4 of R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0190 FR		1016		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See: T.e.4.5 of R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0191 FR		1019		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “intrinsic error” is in the paragraph 3.5.2.2 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.4.2.2” by R061-1:20XX, 3.5.2.2” and the definition by “error of a measuring instrument, determined under reference conditions VIML, 0.06 [2]”.	Accepted
0192 FR		1020		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition of “intrinsic error” is slightly different.	Please replace “R134-1:2003” by “R134-1:2006” and the definition by “Error of an instrument determined under reference conditions. [VIM 5.24]”.	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0193 FR		1030		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.14 but T.2.14.	Replace "R144-1:2013, 1.14" by "R144-1:2013, 2.14".	Accepted
0194 FR		1032		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of "intrinsic error" is in the paragraph 2.4.5.1 and the definition is different.	Please replace "R050-1:1997, T.5.2" by "R050-1:2014, 2.4.5.1" and the definition by "error of a measuring instrument determined under reference conditions [OIML D 11, 3.7]".	Accepted
0195 FR		1044		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of "intrinsic error" is in the paragraph 3.1.23 and the definition is different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0196 FR		1050		ed	The definition of "label" is in the paragraph 2.1.	Please replace "R079:2015, 1.1" by "R079:2015, 2.1"	Accepted
0197 FR		1074		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of "legally relevant" is in the paragraph 3.1.24 and is different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0198 FR		1075		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of "legally relevant parameter" is in the paragraph 3.1.25 and is different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0199 FR		1085		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of "legally relevant software part" is in the paragraph 3.1.26 and is different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0200 FR		1101		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of "load" is in the paragraph 3.1.1 and is different.	Please replace "R061-1:2004, T.1.2." by R061-1:20XX, 3.1.1" and the definition by "amount of product that currently is introducing the force on the load receptor".	Accepted
0201 FR		1106		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of "load cell" is in the paragraph 3.1.3 and is different.	Please replace "R060:2000, 2.1.2" by "R060-1:2017, 3.1.3" and the definition by "measuring transducer that will produce an output in response to an applied load. This output may be converted by another device into measurement units such as mass."	Accepted
0202 FR		1107		ed	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of "load cell error" does not exist.	Please homogenise with R60:2017.	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0203 FR		1108		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of “load cell family” is in the paragraph 3.4.2 and is different.	Please replace “R060-1:2000, 2.2.3” by “R60-1:2017, 3.4.2” and the definition by “group of load cells which for the purposes of type evaluation are considered as one family and that are of: a) the same material or combination of materials (for example mild steel, stainless steel or aluminum); b) the same design of the measurement technique (for example strain gauges bonded to metal); c) when used, the same principle used to attach the strain gauge to the load cell; d) the same method of construction (for example shape, sealing of strain gauges, mounting method, manufacturing method); e) the same set of specifications (for example output rating, input impedance, supply voltage, cable details); and f) one or more load cell groups where all load cells within the group possess identical metrological characteristics (as listed in 5.1.5 – including: class; n LC ; temperature rating, etc.). Note: The examples provided are not intended to be limiting.”	
0204 FR		1109		ed	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of “load cell group” does not exist.	Please homogenise with R60:2017.	Accepted
0205 FR		1110		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of “load cell interval” is in the paragraph 3.5.1 and is different.	Please replace “R060-1:2000, 2.3.1” by “R60-1:2017, 3.5.1” and the definition by “subdivision of the load cell measuring range”.	Accepted
0206 FR		1111		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of “load cell intrinsic error” is in the paragraph 3.7.9 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R060-1:2000, 2.4.8” by “R60-1:2017, 3.7.9” and the definition by “error resulting from a load cell, determined under reference conditions”.	Accepted
0207 FR		1112		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of “load cell measuring range (for verification)” is in the paragraph 3.5.2 and is different.	Please replace “R060-1:2000, 2.3.2” by “R 60-1:2017, 3.5.2” and the definition by “range between the maximum load of the measuring range D max and minimum load of the measuring range D min load cell measuring range = (D max – D min)”	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0208 FR		1113		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of “load cell output” is in the paragraph 3.5.3 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R060-1:2000, 2.3.3” by “R 60-1:2017, 3.5.3” and the definition by “measurable quantity into which a load cell converts the measured input quantity”.	Accepted
0209 FR		1114		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of “load cell equipped with electronic devices” does not exist but there are new definitions for “analog-passive load cell”, “analog-active load cell”, “digital load cell” and “ digital load cell equipped with further data processing”.	Please harmonise with R60-1:2017.	Accepted
0210 FR		1115		ed	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the same definition of “load cell verification interval (v)” is in the paragraph 3.5.4.	Please replace “R060-1:2000, 2.3.4” by “R 60-1:2017, 3.5.4”.	Accepted
0211 FR		1122		ed	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the same definition of “load receptor” is in the paragraph 3.3.1.1.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.2.1.2” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.3.1.1”.	
0212 FR		1124		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition of “load receptor” is in the paragraph T.2.3 and is different.	Please replace “R134-1:2003, T.2.1” by “R134-1:2006, T.2.3” and the definition by “part of the weigh zone which receives the wheel loads of a vehicle and which realizes a change in the balance of the instrument when a wheel load is placed upon it.”	Accepted
0213 FR		1125		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of “load receptor” is in the paragraph 2.2.1 and the definition is different.	Please replace “R50-1:1997, T.2.1” by “R50-1:2014, 2.2.1” and the definition by “part of the instrument intended to sense the load on the belt”.	Accepted
0214 FR		1160		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “mass” does not exist.	Please harmonise with R61-1:20XX.	Accepted
0215 FR		1165			R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition non included in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0216 FR		1167		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “material test” is in the paragraph 3.7.1 and is different.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.6.1” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.7.1” and the definition by “test carried out on a complete AGFI using the type of material which it is intended to weigh”.	Accepted
0217 FR		1170		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of “material test” does not exist.	Please harmonise with R50-1:2014.	Accepted
0218 FR		1175		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the same definition of “maximum capacity (E max) is in the paragraph 3.5.5 and is different.	Please replace “R060-1:2000, 2.3.5” by “R 60-1:2017, 3.5.5” and the definition by “largest value of a quantity expressed in units of mass, which may be applied to a load cell”.	Accepted
0219 FR		1177		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition of “maximum capacity” is slightly different.	Replace “R134-1:2003” by “R134-1:2006” the definition by “maximum weighing-in-motion capacity of the load receptor without totalizing.”	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0220 FR		1178		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of “maximum capacity” is in the paragraph 2.3.4 and is different.	Please replace “R 50-1:1997, T.4.4” by “R 50-1:2014, 2.3.4” and the definition by “maximum net load (load applied by the bulk product, not including load applied by the belt) that the weighing module is intended to weigh on the portion of the conveyor belt representing the weigh length.”	Accepted
0221 FR		1179		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “maximum capacity” is in the paragraph T.3.4.8 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.3.8” by “R061-1:20XX, T.3.4.8” and the definition by “largest discrete load that can be weighed automatically on a load receptor of the AGFI”.	Accepted
0222 FR		1186		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition not included in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0223 FR		1188		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of “maximum flowrate” is in the paragraph 2.3.6.1 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R 50-1:1997, T.4.5.1” by “R 50-1:2014, 2.3.6.1” and the definition by “flowrate obtained with the maximum capacity of the weighing module and the maximum speed of the belt”.	Accepted
0224 FR		1190		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of “maximum load of the measuring range” is in the paragraph 3.5.6 1 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R 60-1:2000, 2.3.6” by “R 60-1:2017, 3.5.6” and the definition by “largest value of a quantity expressed in units of mass which can be introduced to a load cell under test”.	Accepted
0225 FR		1191		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of “maximum load per unit length of the belt” is in the paragraph 2.3.8 1 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R 50-1:1997, T.4.7” by “R 50-1:2014, 2.3.8.1” and the definition by “quotient of the maximum capacity of the weighing module and the weigh length”.	Accepted
0226 FR		1193		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the title “maximum number of load cell verification intervals (n _{max})” has been modified by “maximum number of load cell verification intervals (n _{LC})”, the definition is in the paragraph 3.5.8 and the definition is different.	Please replace “R 60-1:2000, 2.3.7” by “R 60-1:2017, 3.5.8”, “n _{max} ” by “n _{LC} ” and the definition by “maximum number of load cell verification intervals into which the maximum measuring range may be divided”.	Accepted
0227 FR		1194		ed	In the revision R134-1:2006, the same definition of “maximum operating speed (v _{max})” is in the paragraph T.3.4.2.	Replace “R134-1:2003, T.3.4.1” by “R134-1:2006, T.3.4.2”.	Accepted
0228 FR		1197		ed	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “maximum permissible deviation of each fill” is in the paragraph 3.5.2.4.1.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.4.2.4.1” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.5.2.4.1”.	Accepted
0229 FR		1203		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is in the paragraph 3.5.2.4 and is different.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.4.2.4” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.5.2.4.” and the definition by “extreme value of measurement error, with respect to a known reference quantity value, permitted by specifications or regulations for a given measurement, measuring instrument, or measuring system.”	Accepted

1 Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0230 FR		1206		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the title “maximum permissible error” is in the paragraph 3.7.10 and is different.	Please replace “R 60-1:2000, 2.4.9” by “R 60-1:2017, 3.7.10” and the definition by “extreme value of measurement error, with respect to a known reference quantity value, permitted by specifications or regulations for a given measurement, measuring instrument, or measuring system (For notes, refer to the VIM [2])”.	Accepted
0231 FR		1208		ed	1°) Definition and note are enclosed by curly bracket “{}” 2°) There is a reference to a list in the recommendation.	1°) Please delete the curly bracket 2°) Please delete the end of the note “The MPE and other limits for tests on the type of instrument and various grain calibrations are listed in Błąd! Nie można odnaleźć źródła odwołania., Błąd! Nie można odnaleźć źródła odwołania.”.	Curly brackets are used for the text quoted from VIM which R 146 refers to. Accepted
0232 UK		1208	Notes	ed	Sentence in the Notes column needs to be clarified: {NOTE 1 Usually, the term “maximum permissible errors” or “limits of error” is used where there are two extreme values. NOTE 2 The term “tolerance” should not be used to designate ‘maximum permissible error’. The MPE and other limits for tests on the type of instrument and various grain calibrations are listed in Błąd! Nie można odnaleźć źródła odwołania., Błąd! Nie można odnaleźć źródła odwołania	Please clarify the sentence within the column	Accepted
0233 FR		1213		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “maximum permissible error” is in the paragraph 3.1.27 and is different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0234 FR		1218		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “maximum permissible error for influence factor tests” does not exist.	Please homogenise with R61-1:20XX.	Accepted
0235 UK		1221	Notes	ed	Sentence in the Notes column needs to be clarified: <i>Note 1:</i> For each influence factor there is one corresponding maximum permissible error shift. <i>Note 2:</i> In this Recommendation, the maximum permissible error is a combination of the base maximum permissible error and the maximum permissible error shift as described in Błąd! Nie można odnaleźć źródła odwołania..	Please clarify the sentence within the column	Accepted

1 Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0236 UK		1232	Notes	ed	<p>Sentence in the Notes column needs to be clarified:</p> <p><i>Note 1:</i> Usually the term “maximum permissible errors” or “limits of error” are used, where there are two extreme values.</p> <p><i>Note 2:</i> The term “tolerance” should not be used to designate “maximum permissible error”.</p> <p><i>Additional note:</i> The MPEs in Błąd! Nie można odnaleźć źródła odwołania. of this Recommendation are errors associated with a meter in use in the market place. The errors for the OIML test procedures are based on Błąd! Nie można odnaleźć źródła odwołania.</p>	Please clarify the sentence within the column	Accepted
0237 FR		1233		ed	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the same definition of “maximum permissible preset value error” is in the paragraph 3.5.2.4.2.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.4.2.4.2” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.5.2.4.2”.	Accepted
0238 FR		1238		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition of “maximum transit speed” is in the paragraph T.3.4.5 and is different.	Please replace “R134-1:2003, T.3.4.4” by R134-1:2006, T.3.4.5” and the definition by “maximum speed that a vehicle can travel on the weigh zone without producing a shift in the performance characteristics of a weighing instrument beyond those specified”.	Accepted
0239 FR		1242		ed	Beginning of the definition is missing.	Please add at the beginning the sentence written in the R136 “Deviation of the mean value for a number of consecutive area measurements made on one template material, from the conventional true value of the template area, expressed mathematically as:”	Accepted
0240 FR		1245		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it’s not T.1.9 but T.2.9.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.9” by “R144-1:2013, 2.9”.	Accepted
0241 FR		1251		ed	<p>1°) The definition and note are enclosed by curly bracket “{}”</p> <p>2°) The column notes is not entirely visible.</p> <p>3°) The definition is in the paragraph 2.1.</p>	<p>1°) Please delete the curly bracket</p> <p>2°) Please make the entire note s visible</p> <p>3°) The reference should be “R146-1:2016, 2.1”.</p>	<p>Curly brackets are used for the text quoted from VIM which R 146 refers to.</p> <p>Accepted. As a reference the numbers of a table and a line in it are given.</p>

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0242 FR		1254		ed	1°) The notes are enclosed by curly bracket “{}” 2°) The definition is in the paragraph 2.1	1°) Please delete the curly bracket 2°) The reference should be “R146-1:2016, 2.1”.	Curly brackets are used for the text quoted from VIM which R 146 refers to. Accepted. As a reference the numbers of a table and a line in it are given.
0243 UK		1255	Notes	ed	Sentence in the Definition column needs to be clarified: measured quantity value minus a reference quantity value [VIM 2.16 Błąd! Nie można odnaleźć źródła odwołania	Please clarify the sentence within the column	Accepted
0244 FR		1272		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “measuring instrument” is in the paragraph 3.1.28 and is different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0245 FR		1280		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See: T.m.2 of R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0246 FR		1286		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition not included in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0247 FR		1290		ed	The definition of “medium” is in the paragraph 2.2.	The reference should be “R079:2015, 2.2”.	Accepted
0248 FR		1329		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition of “minimum capacity” is slightly different.	Replace “R134-1:2003” by “R134-1:2006” and the definition by “value of the load below which the weighing-in-motion results before totalizing may be subject to an excessive relative error”.	Accepted
0249 FR		1330		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is in the paragraph 3.4.7. The definition and the note are slightly different.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.3.7” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.4.7” and harmonise the definition and the note.	Accepted
0250 FR		1335		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of “minimum dead load” is in the paragraph 3.5.9 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R 60-1:2000, 2.3.8” by “R 60-1:2017, 3.5.9” and the definition by “smallest value of a quantity (expressed in mass units) that may be applied to a load cell”.	Accepted
0251 FR		1336		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of “minimum dead load output return” is in the paragraph 3.5.10 and is different.	Please replace “R 60-1:2000, 2.3.9” by “R 60-1:2017, 3.5.9” and the definition by “difference of load cell output, expressed in units of mass at the minimum dead load (D min), measured before and after application of a load of Dmax”.	Accepted

1 Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0252 FR		1338		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is in the paragraph 3.4.12 and is different.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.3.12” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.4.12” and the definition by “smallest load that can be discharged from a subtractive weighing instrument”.	Accepted
0253 FR		1339		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition not included in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0254 FR		1340		ed	In the revision R50-1:2014, this definition is in the paragraph 2.3.6.2.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.4.5.2” by “R061-1:20XX, 2.3.6.2”.	Accepted
0255 FR		1345		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of minimum load cell verification interval (v min)” is in the paragraph 3.5.11 and the definition is different.	Please replace “R 60-1:2000, 2.3.10” by “R 60-1:2017, 3.5.11” and the definition by “smallest load cell verification interval in units of mass into which the maximum measuring range (E max – E min) can be divided.	Accepted
0256 FR		1346		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of minimum load of the measuring range is in the paragraph 3.5.12 and the definition is different.	Please replace “R 60-1:2000, 2.3.11” by “R 60-1:2017, 3.5.12” and the definition by “smallest value of a quantity expressed in units of mass, applied to a load cell under test”.	Accepted
0257 FR		1347		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See: T.q.1.3 in R 117-1 (2007).	
0258 FR		1354		ed	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition is in the paragraph T.3.4.3.	Please replace “R134-1:2003, T.3.4.2” by “R134-1:2006, T.3.4.3”.	Accepted
0259 FR		1362		te	In the revision R50-1:2014, this definition is in the paragraph 2.3.7 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R50-1:1997, T.4.6” by “R50-1:2014, 2.3.7” and the definition by “totalized quantity, in units of mass, below which a totalization may be subject to excessive relative errors”.	Accepted
0260 FR		1366		ed	The definition of “misleading prepackage” is in the paragraph 2.3.	The reference should be “R079:2015, 2.3”.	Accepted
0261 FR		1383		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition not included in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0262 FR		1389		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition does not exist.	Delete the line.	Accepted
0263 FR		1402		ed	The definition of “net quantity” is in the paragraph 2.4.	The reference should be “R079:2015, 2.4”.	Accepted
0264 FR		1425		ed	The definition of “nominal quantity” is in the paragraph 2.5.	The reference should be “R079:2015, 2.5”.	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0265 FR		1433		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See: T.c.2.4 in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0266 FR		1442		ed	In the revision R134-1:2006, the same definition is in the paragraph T.2.10.2.	Please replace “R134-1:2003, T.2.4.1.1” by “R134-1:2006, T.2.10.2”.	Accepted
0267 FR		1443		te	In the revision R50-1:2014, this definition is in the paragraph 2.2.6.1 and the definition is different.	Please replace “R50-1:1997, T.4.6” by “R50-1:2014, 2.2.6.1” and the definition by “zero-setting device that requires observation and adjustment by the operator”.	Accepted
0268 FR		1446		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is in the paragraph 3.3.4.1. The definition is different.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.2.4.1” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.3.4.1” and the definition by “device for setting the indication to zero by an operator”.	Accepted
0269 FR		1450		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definitions of “non-interruptible / Interruptible measurement” are separated in paragraphs 3.1.22 and 3.1.29 and are different.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0270 FR		1453		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of “non-linearity” is in the paragraph 3.7.13 and the definition is different.	Please replace “R 60-1:2000, 2.4.10” by “R 60-1:2017, 3.7.13” and the definition by “deviation from the average of the values of load cell signals from a straight line through zero force applied and maximum force applied”.	Accepted
0271 FR		1461		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of “number of load cell verification intervals” is in the paragraph 3.5.13 and the definition is different.	Please replace “R 60-1:2000, 2.3.12” by “R 60-1:2017, 3.5.13” and the definition by “total of load cell verification intervals into which the maximum measuring range is divided”.	Accepted
0272 FR		1468		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “open network” does not exist.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0273 FR		1474		te	In the revision R50-1:2014, this definition does not exist.	Delete the line.	Accepted
0274 FR		1488		ed	The definition of “packing material” is in the paragraph 2.6.	The reference should be “R079:2015, 2.6”.	Accepted
0275 FR		1490		ed	In the revision R50-1:2014, the same definition of “partial totalization indicating device” is in the paragraph 2.4.2.5.	Please replace “R50-1:1997, T.3.7.2” by “R50-1:2014, 2.4.2.5”.	Accepted
0276 FR		1492		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition of “partial weighing” is in the paragraph T.3.1.2 but the definition is different.	Please replace the definition by “weighing a vehicle in two or more parts successively on the same load receptor”.	Accepted
0277 FR		1498		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition not included in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0278 FR		1500		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, there is no definition for “performance” but there is a definition for “performance test”.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development

1 Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0279 FR		1503			R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See : T.p.2 in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0280 FR		1509		ed	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the same definition of “performance test” is in the paragraph 3.1.7.	Please replace “R 60-1:2000, 2.1.4” by “R 60-1:2017, 3.1.7”.	Accepted
0281 FR		1515		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is in the paragraph 3.7.3 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.6.3” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.7.3 and the definition by “test intended to verify whether the equipment under test (EUT) is able to accomplish its intended Functions VIML, 5.21”.	
0282 FR		1518		te	In the revision R50-1:2014, the same definition of “performance test” is in the paragraph 2.6.2 and the definition is slightly different.	Please replace “R50-1:1997, T.7.3” by “R50-1:2014, 2.6.2” and the definition by “test intended to verify whether the EUT is able to accomplish its intended functions [OIML D 11, 3.20.3]”.	Accepted
0283 FR		1519		ed	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition of “performance test” is in the same paragraph but is slightly different (“specified” replaces “intended”).	Please replace “R134-1:2003” by “R134-1:2006 and “intended” by “specified”.	Accepted
0284 FR		1523		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition not included in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0285 FR		1531		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See : T.c.2.2 in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0286 FR		1558		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See : T.p.5 in R 117-1 (2007) ; definition of “ power supply device”.	Accepted
0287 FR		1565		ed	The definition of “prepackage” is in the paragraph 2.7.	The reference should be “R079:2015, 2.7”.	Accepted
0288 FR		1567		ed	The definition of “prepackage marked with constant nominal quantity” is in the paragraph 2.8.	The reference should be “R079:2015, 2.8”.	Accepted
0289 FR		1569		ed	The definition of “prepackage marked with random nominal quantities” is in the paragraph 2.9.	The reference should be “R079:2015, 2.9”.	Accepted
0290 FR		1573		ed	In the revision R50-1:2014, the same definition of “pre-selection device” is in the paragraph 2.2.9.	Please replace “R50-1:1997, T.3.8.7” by “R50-1:2014, 2.2.9”.	Accepted
0291 FR		1578		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is in the paragraph 3.4.3 and the end of the definition is different (“the mass of the fills” replaces “of the fills”.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.3.3” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.4.3 and the end of the definition by “the mass of the fills”.	Accepted
0292 FR		1581		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See: T.p.3 in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0293 FR		1607		ed	The definition of “principal display panel” is in the paragraph 2.10.	The reference should be “R079:2015, 2.10”.	Accepted

1 Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0294 FR		1613		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition of “printing device” is in the paragraph T.2.5.2 and is different.	Please replace “R134-1:2003, T.2.4.2” by “R134-1:2006, T.2.5.2” and the definition by “means to produce hard copies of the weighing results”.	Accepted
0295 FR		1614		te	In the revision R50-1:2014, the definition of “printing device” is in the paragraph 2.2.7 and is different.	Please replace “R50-1:1997, T.3.8.2” by “R50-1:2014, 2.2.7” and the definition by “device to produce a printout (see 2.4.3) of the weighing results”.	Accepted
0296 FR		1621		ed	In the R108:1993, the definition of “process refractometer” is in the paragraph 2.5.	Please replace “R108:1993, 2.6” by “R108:1993, 2.5”.	Accepted
0297 FR		1625		ed	The definition of “product” is in the paragraph 2.11.	The reference should be “R079:2015, 2.11”.	Accepted
0298 FR		1626		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, there is no definition for “program code”.	Please homogenise with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0299 FR		1637		ed	A reference to another paragraphs of the recommendation is done “see 2.1.10”.	Please delete it.	Noted. Why should it be deleted?
0300 FR		1653		te	In the R134-1:2006, the title and the definition are different and are in the paragraph T.3.4.4.	Please replace “R134-1:2003, T.3.4.3” by “R134-1:2006, T.3.4.4”, the title by “operating speed range” and the definition by “set of values specified by the manufacturer between the minimum and maximum operating speeds at which a vehicle may be weighed-in-motion.”	Accepted
0301 FR		1657		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is in the paragraph 3.4.9 and the definition and the note are different.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.3.9” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.4.9 3.4.9, the definition by ”rated value of the mass of the fill below which the weighing results may be subject to errors exceeding the required limitations specified in this Recommendation” and the note by “for AGFIs which accomplish the fill by more than one weighing cycle Minfill is larger than the minimum capacity, Min.”	Accepted
0302 FR		1663		ed	1°) The definition and note are enclosed by curly bracket “{}” 2°) The definition is in the paragraph 2.1.	1°) Please delete the curly bracket 2°) The reference should be “R146-1:2016, 2.1”.	
0303 FR		1666		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See: T.c.3.3 in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0304 FR		1672		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition of “rated operating condition” is in the paragraph 3.1.8 and is different.	Please replace “R 60-1:2000, 2.5.2” by “R 60-1:2017, 3.1.8” 3.1.8 and the definition by “operating condition that must be fulfilled during measurement in order that a measuring instrument or measuring system perform as designed (For notes, refer to the VIM [2]).”	Accepted Please note that in R 60-1:2017 the term rated operating conditions is used twice, i.e. under 3.1.8 and 3.8.4
0305 FR		1675		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.18 but T.2.18	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.18” by “R144-1:2013, 2.18”	Accepted.
0306 FR		1676		ed	There is the R134-1:2006.	Please replace “R134:2003, T.5.2” by “R134-1:2006, T.5.2”.	Accepted
0307 FR		1679		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is in the paragraph 3.6.2 and the definition is different.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.5.2” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.6.2”, the definition by “operating condition that must be fulfilled during measurement in order that a measuring instrument or measuring system perform as designed VIM, 4.9” and the note by “Note: Rated operating conditions generally specify intervals of values for a quantity being measured and for any influence quantity.”	Accepted
0308 FR		1681		te	In the revision R50-1:2014, the definition of “rated operating conditions” is in the paragraph 2.5.2 and is different.	Please replace “R50-1:1997, T.6.2” by “R50-1:2014, 2.5.2” and the definition by “operating conditions that must be fulfilled during measurement in order that a measuring instrument or measuring system perform as designed [VIM, 4.9]” and the note by “Note: Rated operating conditions generally specify intervals of values for a quantity being measured and for any influence quantity.”	Accepted
0309 FR		1704		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.20 but T.2.20.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.20” by “R144-1:2013, 2.20”.	Accepted
0310 FR		1707		ed	1°) The definition and note are enclosed by curly bracket “{}” 2°) The definition is in the paragraph 2.1.	1°) Please delete the curly bracket 2°) The reference should be “R146-1:2016, 2.1”.	Noted. See 1817
0311 FR		1709		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See: T.c.3.4 in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0312 FR		1717		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, there is a definition for “reference (operating) condition” and not for “reference conditions”. This definition is in the paragraph 3.8.5.	Please harmonize with R60-1:2017.	Accepted
0313 FR		1719		ed	There is the revision R134-1:2006.	Please replace “R134-1:2003” by “R134-1:2006”.	Accepted

1 Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0314 FR		1723		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is in the paragraph 3.6.3 and the definition is different.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.5.3” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.6.3”, the definition by “operating condition prescribed for evaluating the performance of a measuring instrument or measuring system or for comparison of measurement results VIM 4.11” and the note by “Note: Reference operating conditions specify intervals of values of the measurand and of the influence quantities.”	Accepted
0315 FR		1725		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition is in paragraph 2.5.3 and is different.	Please replace “R 50-1:1997, T.6.3” by “R 50-1:2014, 2.5.3” and the definition by “operating conditions prescribed for evaluating the performance of a measuring instrument or measuring system or for comparison of measurement results [VIM, 4.11]” and the note by “Note: Reference operating conditions specify intervals of values of the measurand and of the influence quantities.”	Accepted
0316 FR		1739		ed	Note 3 is a reference to an annex of the recommendation.	Please delete this reference “3. See also Annex B.”	Noted. Entry 1739 reads: Reference height, H The distance between the dipping datum point and the upper reference point, measured along the vertical measurement axis. Does the referee’s remark relates to the entry 1739 or perhaps 1735? Even so the reference has to be quoted too as a part of the definition.
0317 FR		1744		ed	1°) The definition and note are enclosed by curly bracket “{}” 2°) The column notes is not entirely visible. 3°) The definition is in the paragraph 2.1.	1°) Please delete the curly bracket 2°) Please make the entire note s visible 3°) The reference should be “R146-1:2016, 2.1”.	Noted. See 1817
0318 FR		1747		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, there is a definition for “product reference quantity”.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.3.2” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.4.2”, the title by “product reference quantity”, the definition by “calculated quantity value equal to the mean of at least ten of the largest pieces of the product taken from one or more fills.”	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0319 FR		1756		ed	1°) The definition and note are enclosed by curly bracket “{}” 2°) The column notes is not entirely visible. 3°) The definition is in the paragraph 2.1.	1°) Please delete the curly bracket 2°) Please make the entire note s visible 3°) The reference should be “R146-1:2016, 2.1”.	Noted. See 1817
0320 FR		1762		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is in the paragraph 3.5.3 and is different.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.4.3” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.5.3”, and the definition by “value for accuracy class specified by the manufacturer for the purpose of static testing of the weighing module during influence quantity testing at type evaluation stage. Ref(x) is equal to the best accuracy class for which the AGFI may be verified for operational use”	Accepted
0321 FR		1764		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition is in the paragraph T.7.3 and is different.	Please replace “R134:2003, T.7.2” by “R134-1:2006, T.7.3” and the definition by “vehicles having a known conventional true value (T.1.9) of: - mass, and single-axle load of a two-axle rigid vehicle; and - mass of other vehicles used for in-motion tests (6.5), determined on a control instrument (T.1.8).”	Accepted
0322 FR		1767		ed	At the end of the definition, there is a reference “(see 3)” to another paragraph of the R108.	Please erase the reference to the paragraph 3“(see 3)”.	Noted. The reference is an integral part of the definition. It has to be quoted too.
0323 FR		1770		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition is in the paragraph 3.5.14. The title and the definition are different.	Please replace R60-1:2000, 2.3.13” by “R60-1:2017, 3.5.14”, the title by “relative minimum dead load output return (Z)” and the definition by “ratio of the maximum measuring range, to two times the minimum dead load output return, DR Note: This ratio is used to describe multi-interval instruments.”	Accepted
0324 FR		1771		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it’s not T.1.15 but T.2.15.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.15” by “R144-1:2013, 2.15”.	Accepted
0325 FR		1779		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition is in the paragraph 3.5.15. The title and the definition are different.	Please replace R60-1:2000, 2.3.14” by “R60-1:2017, 3.5.15”, the title by “relative minimum load cell verification interval (Y)” and the definition by “ratio of the maximum measuring range, to the minimum load cell verification interval, v min Note: This ratio describes the resolution of the load cell independent from the load cell capacity.”	Accepted
0326 FR		1784		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition does not exist. (There is a definition of “repeatability error”).	Please harmonize with R60-1:2017.	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0327 FR		1799		ed	1°) The definition is enclosed by curly bracket “{}” 2°) The definition is in the paragraph 2.1.	1°) Please delete the curly bracket 2°) The reference should be “R146-1:2016, 2.1”.	Noted. See 1817
0328 FR		1806		ed	1°) The definition and notes are enclosed by curly bracket “{}” 2°) The definition is in the paragraph 2.1.	1°) Please delete the curly bracket 2°) The reference should be “R146-1:2016, 2.1”.	Noted. See 1817A
0329 FR		1809		ed	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the same definition is in the paragraph 3.7.14.	Please replace R60-1:2000, 2.4.12” by “R60-1:2017, 3.7.14”.	Accepted
0330 FR		1816		ed	1°) The definition and notes are enclosed by curly bracket “{}” 2°) The definition is in the paragraph 2.1.	1°) Please delete the curly bracket 2°) The reference should be “R146-1:2016, 2.1”.	Noted. See 1817
0331 FR		1817		ed	1°) The definition and notes are enclosed by curly bracket “{}” 2°) The definition is in the paragraph 2.1 3°) There is a reference to another paragraph of the R146 at the end of the note.	1°) Please delete the curly bracket 2°) The reference should be “R146-1:2016, 2.1” 3°) Please delete the last paragraph of the note “For the tests in this Recommendation, the conditions changed and unchanged are summarized in R 146-2, Annex A, A.3.4.”	Noted. The curly brackets are maintained everywhere when there is no explicit definition in the publication in question. The reference number was corrected. The last paragraph has not been changed. G 18 is not a vocabulary: it is a list of all the term-related records in OIML Ds and Rs, such as they are.
0332 FR		1831		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.12 but T.2.12.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.12” by “R144-1:2013, 2.12”.	Accepted
0333 FR		1846		ed	In the revision R134-1:2006, the same definition is in the paragraph T.4.2.9.	Please replace “R134:2003, T.4.2.7” by “R134-1:2006, T.4.2.6”.	Accepted (T.4.2.9 and not T.4.2.6)
0334 FR		1852		ed	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the same definition is in the paragraph 3.5.16.	Please replace R60-1:2000, 2.3.15” by “R60-1:2017, 3.5.16”.	Accepted
0335 FR		1857		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.3 but T.2.3.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.3” by “R144-1:2013, 2.3”.	Accepted

1 Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0336 FR		1863		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.23 but T.2.2.	Replace "R144-1:2013, 1.2" by "R144-1:2013, 2.2".	Accepted
0337 FR		1871		ed	In the revision R134-1:2006, the same definition is in the paragraph T.3.3.	Please replace "R134:2003, T.3.3.1" by "R134-1:2006, T.3.3".	Accepted
0338 FR		1873		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is in the paragraph 3.4.1 and is slightly different.	Please replace "R61-1:2004, T.3.1" by "R61-1:20XX, 3.4.1", and the definition by "value, expressed in units of the measured quantity of the difference between: a) the values corresponding to two consecutive scale marks for analogue indication, or b) two consecutive indicated values for digital indication VIML, 5.01".	Accepted
0339 FR		1875		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition is in the paragraph T.3.3.1. and is different.	Please replace "R134:2003, T.3.3.2" by "R134:2006, T.3.3.1" and the definition by "value, expressed in units of mass, for stationary weighing vehicles or test weights that is the difference between two consecutive indicated or printed values."	Accepted
0340 FR		1877		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition is in paragraph 2.3.1.2 and is different.	Please replace "R 50-1:1997, T.4.1.2." by "R 50-1:2014, 2.3.1.2" and the definition by "difference between two consecutive indicated values, expressed in units of mass, with the instrument in a special mode for testing purposes. This scale interval for testing, e, is equal to the totalization Acceptedscale interval, d, if the special mode is not available".	Accepted
0341 FR		1882		ed	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, this definition is in the paragraph 3.1.31.	Please harmonize with new D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0342 FR		1890		ed	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1. This definition is in the paragraph 3.1.32.	Please harmonize with new D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0343 FR		1905		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.7.3 but T.2.7.3.	Replace "R144-1:2013, 1.7.3" by "R144-1:2013, 2.7.3".	Accepted
0344 FR		1907		ed	In the revision R134-1:2006, the same definition is in the paragraph T.2.10.3.	Please replace "R134:2003, T.2.4.1.2" by "R134:2006, T.2.10.3".	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0345 FR		1908		ed	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the same definition is in the paragraph 2.2.6.2.	Please replace “R 50-1:1997, T.3.8.1.2.” by “R 50-1:2014, 2.2.6.2”.	Accepted
0346 FR		1910		ed	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the same definition is in the paragraph 3.3.4.2.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.2.4.2” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.3.4.2”.	Accepted
0347 FR		1917		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition does not exist.	Please delete the line.	Accepted
0348 FR		1919		ed	Formula hasn't been copied correctly.	Please copy and paste again the definition.	Accepted
0349 FR		1936		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117	Definition not included in R 117-1 (2007)	Accepted
0350 FR		1942		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	See: T.f.1 in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0351 FR		1950		ed	In the revision R134-1:2006, the same definition is in the paragraph T.4.2.7.	Please replace “R134:2003, T.4.2.5” by “R134:2006, T.4.2.7”.	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0352 FR		1951		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is in the paragraph 3.5.2.7 and is different. There is a note.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.4.2.6” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.5.2.7” and the definition by “fault exceeding the applicable fault limit value VIML 5.14” and the note by “Note: For particular types of measuring instruments some faults exceeding the fault limit may not be considered a significant fault; the applicable Recommendation shall state when such exception applies. For example, the occurrence of one or some of the following faults may be acceptable: - faults arising from simultaneous and mutually independent causes originating in a measuring instrument or in its checking facilities; - faults implying the impossibility to perform any measurement; - faults giving rise to variations in the measurement result that are serious enough to be noticed by all those interested in the measurement result; The Recommendation may specify the nature of these variations.”	Accepted
0353 FR		1958		ed	At the end of the definition and at the end of the note, there is a reference “(see Błąd! Nie można odnaleźć źródła odwołania.)” to another paragraph of the R059.	Please erase the reference.	
0354 FR		1959		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition is in the paragraph 3.7.17 and is different.	Please replace R60-1:2000, 2.4.14” by “R60-1:2017, 3.7.17”. and the definition by “fault exceeding the applicable fault limit value (For notes, refer to the VIML [3]).”	

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0355 FR		1965		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition is in the paragraph 2.4.5.4 and is different.	Please replace “R50-1:1997, T5.5” by “R50-1:2014, 2.4.5.4 and the definition by “fault exceeding the absolute value of the appropriate maximum permissible error for a load equal to the minimum totalized load, $\Sigma \min$, for the designated class of the belt weigher” and the note by “Note: A significant fault does not include - faults arising from simultaneous and mutually independent causes in the belt weigher, - faults implying the impossibility to perform any weighing, - transitory faults, momentary variations in the indications which cannot be interpreted, memorized or transmitted as a weighing result, - faults which are so serious that they will inevitably be noticed by all those interested in the weighing result.”	Accepted
0356 FR		1974		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “simulation test” is in the paragraph 3.7.2 and is different.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.6.2.” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.7.2” and the definition by “test carried out on a complete AGFI or part of the AGFI in which any part of the weighing operation is simulated”.	Accepted
0357 FR		1978		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition is in the paragraph 2.6.4 and is different.	Please replace “R50-1:1997, T5.5” by “R50-1:2014, 2.6.4 and the definition by “test carried out on a complete instrument or part of an instrument in which any part of the instrument operation is simulated”.	Accepted
0358 FR		1979		ed	There is the revision R134-1:2006.	Please replace “R134-1:2003” by “R134-1:2006”.	Accepted
0359 FR		1980		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition is in the paragraph 2.1.5.1 and is different.	Please replace “R50-1:1997, T.2.2.1” by “R50-1:2014, 2.1.5.1” and the definition by “belt weigher that is installed with a conveyor belt designed to operate at a single speed”.	Accepted
0360 FR		1985		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, there is not a paragraph with this definition.	Please harmonize with new D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0361 FR		1989		ed	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the same definition is in the paragraph 3.1.34.	Please harmonize with new D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0362 FR		1990		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition is in the paragraph 3.1.35 and is different.	Please harmonize with new D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0363 FR		1993		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition is in the paragraph 3.1.36 and is different (OIML V 1 :2013).	Please harmonize with new D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0364 FR		1994		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition is in the paragraph 3.1.37 and is different. Moreover, there is a note.	Please harmonize with new D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0365 FR		1995		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition is in the paragraph 3.1.38 and is different (OIML V 1 :2013). Moreover, there is a note.	Please harmonize with new D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0366 FR		1997		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition is in the paragraph 3.1.39 and is different (OIML V 1 :2013). Moreover, there is a note.	Please harmonize with new D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0367 FR		2008		ed	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the same definition is in the paragraph 3.1.40.	Please harmonize with new D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0368 FR		2009		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition is in the paragraph T.4.2.8 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R134:2003, T.4.2.6” by “R134:2006, T.4.2.8” and the definition by “capability of an instrument to maintain the difference between the indication at maximum capacity and the indication at zero within specified limits over a period of use.”	Accepted
0369 FR		2010		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “span stability” is in the paragraph 3.5.2.8 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.4.2.7” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.5.2.8” and the definition by “capability of an instrument to maintain the difference between the indication at maximum capacity and the indication at zero over a period of use within specified limits”	Accepted
0370 FR		2015		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition is in the paragraph 3.7.18 and is different.	Please replace R60-1:2000, 2.4.15” by “R60-1:2017, 3.7.18” and the definition by “capability of a load cell to maintain the load cell output of the load cell’s measuring range over a period of use within specified limits”.	Accepted
0371 FR		2018		ed	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the same definition of “span stability test” is in the paragraph 3.7.4.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.6.4.” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.7.4”.	Accepted
0372 FR		2020		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition does not exist.	Please harmonize.	Accepted

1 Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0373 FR		2054		ed	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the same definition of “static set point” is in the paragraph 3.4.4.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.3.4” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.4.4”.	Accepted
0374 FR		2056		ed	There is the R134-1:2006 (same definition and paragraph).	Please replace “R134:2003, T.6.1” by “R134:2006, T.6.1”.	Accepted
0375 FR		2057		ed	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the same definition has a different title and is in the paragraph 3.4.11.	Please harmonize.	Accepted
0376 FR		2059		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition is slightly different.	Please replace “R134:2003, T.3.1.4.” by “R134:2006, T.3.1.4.” and the definition by “weighing vehicles or test loads that are stationary”.	Accepted
0377 FR		2069		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition is in the paragraph 3.1.40 and is different.	Please harmonize with new D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0378 FR		2071		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition is in the paragraph does not exist.	Please harmonize with new D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0379 FR		2079		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the title and the definition are different.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.1.8.3” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.2.2.3”, the title by “subtractive weighing instrument” and the text by “AGFI for which the fill is determined by controlling the output feed from the weigh hopper”.	Accepted
0380 FR		2084		ed	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the same definition is in the paragraph 2.4.2.6.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.3.7.3” by “R050-1:2014, 2.4.2.6”.	Accepted
0381 FR		2099		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is different and is in the paragraph 3.3.5.1.	Please harmonize the definition and replace R61-1:2004, T.2.5” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.3.5.1”.	Accepted
0382 FR		2111		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition is in the paragraph 3.7.19 and is different.	Please replace R60-1:2000, 2.4.16” by “R60-1:2017, 3.7.19” and the definition by “temperature effect on minimum dead load output change of the signal output under minimum dead load due to a change in ambient temperature”.	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0383 FR		2112		ed	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the same definition is in the paragraph 3.7.20.	Please replace R60-1:2000, 2.4.17" by "R60-1:2017, 3.7.20".	Accepted
0384 FR		2116		ed	1°) The definition is totally different from the recommendation reference "R115:1995, 2.2." 2°) If the definition written in "R115:1995, 2.2." is kept, clause 2116 and clause 2117 are the same terms for a same definition. It's a duplication of the definition of "temperature probe"	1°) Change the definition to be in accordance with the recommendation quoted in reference "R115:1995, 2.2." 2°) Please simplify by erasing one of the duplicate definition : - erase one of the clause 2116 or 2117 - in the column "Reference" write "R114:1995, 2.2, R115:1995, 2.2".	Proposal regarding No 2116 accepted. The two clauses (2116 and 2117) must be retained as they refer to two separate Recommendations.
0385 IR		2116		ed	The definition for "Temperature probe" is different from what is written in R115:1995, 2.2.	the correct definition is: a temperature probe is the component of a thermometer of which part is applied to a body cavity or tissue with which it establishes thermal equilibrium. It comprises a temperature sensor with associated parts including coverings, seals, inner leads, and connecting plug, where appropriate	Proposal regarding No 2116 accepted. The two clauses (2116 and 2117) must be retained as they refer to two separate Recommendations.
0386 FR		2123		te	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the definition is in the paragraph 3.2.1.2 and is different.	Please replace R60-1:2000, 2.1.1.2." by "R60-1:2017, 3.2.1.2" and the definition by "applying a tension force to the load receptor of a load cell".	Accepted
0387 FR		2128		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of "test" does not exist but there is a definition for "performance test".	Please harmonize with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0388 FR		2155		ge	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition is in the paragraph 3.1.42 and is slightly different.	Please harmonize with new D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0389 FR		2162		ge	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition is in the paragraph 2.2.5 and is slightly different.	Please replace "R050-1:1997, T.3.6" by "R050-1:2014, 2.2.5" and modify the definition.	Accepted
0390 FR		2164		ge	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition is in the paragraph 2.4.2.3 and is slightly different.	Please replace "R050-1:1997, T.3.7." by "R050-1:2014, 2.4.2.3." and modify the definition.	Accepted
0391 FR		2165		ed	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition is in the paragraph 2.3.1.1 and is slightly different. There is a definition for "totalization scale interval for testing, e" in R 50-1:2014. Why is this definition not in the table?	Please replace "R050-1:1997, T.4.1.1." by "R050-1:2014, 2.3.1.1." and modify the definition.	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0392 FR		2188		ed	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “transmission of measurement data” is in the paragraph 3.1.43. and the definition is different.	Please harmonize with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0393 FR		2199		ed	1°) The definition and notes are enclosed by curly bracket “{}” 2°) The definition is in the paragraph 2.1.	1°) Please delete the curly bracket 2°) The reference should be “R146-1:2016, 2.1”.	Noted. Under 2.1 there is no definition: there is a reference to OIML V2-200:2012, 2.44. The curly brackets indicate that the definition is quoted after VIM.
0394 FR		2205		ed	1°) The definition and notes are enclosed by curly bracket “{}” 2°) The definition is in the paragraph 2.1 3°) The note is a reference to another part of the recommendation.	1°) Please delete the curly bracket 2°) The reference should be “R146-1:2016, 2.1” 3°) Please delete the note.	Noted. Under 2.1 there is no definition: there is a reference to OIML V2-200:2012, 2.44. The curly brackets indicate that the definition is quoted after VIM.
0395 FR		2214		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition of “type evaluation” does not exist but there is a definition for “type (pattern) evaluation”.	Please harmonize with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0396 FR		2218		ge	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the same definition is in the paragraph 3.1.45.	Please harmonize with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0397 FR		2240		ge	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the same definition is in the paragraph 3.1.46.	Please harmonize with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0398 FR		2247		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.7.1 but T.2.7.1.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.7.1” by “R144-1:2013, 2.7.1”.	Accepted
0399 FR		2250		ge	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition is slightly different and in the paragraph 3.1.47.	Please harmonize with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0400 FR		2256		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition is different and in the paragraph 3.1.48.	Please harmonize with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0401 FR		2260		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the title and the definition are in the paragraph 2.1.5.2 and are different.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.2.2.2. by “R050-1:2014, 2.1.5.2.”, the title by “variable speed or multiple speed belt weigher” and the definition by “belt weigher that is installed with a conveyor belt designed to operate at a variable speed (within a range) or at more than one set speed.)	Accepted
0402 FR		2261		ge	In the revision 2006, the definition is slightly different.	Please replace “R134-1:2003” by “R134-1:2006” and add “road” before vehicle in the definition.	Accepted
0403 FR		2264		te	A new revision of D31 is in progress. In the CD1, the definition is different.	Please harmonize with D31 if possible.	New edition of D 31 is still under development
0404 FR		2273		ed	1°) The definition and notes are enclosed by curly bracket “{}” 2°) The definition is in the paragraph 2.1.	1°) Please delete the curly bracket 2°) The reference should be “R146-1:2016, 2.1”.	Noted. Under 2.1 there is no definition: there is a reference to OIML V2-200:2012, 2.44. The curly brackets indicate that the definition is quoted after VIM.
0405 FR		2294		ed	In the revision R 60-1:2017, the same definition is in the paragraph 3.5.17 .	Please replace R60-1:2000, 2.3.16.” by “R60-1:2017, 3.5.17.”	Accepted
0406 FR		2296		ed	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is slightly different and is in the paragraph 3.4.13.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.3.13” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.4.13” and harmonize the definition.	Noted. The correct paragraph number is 3.4.14
0407 FR		2297		ed	There is the revision R134-1:2006. The definition is slightly different.	Please replace “R134-1:2003” by “R134-1:2006” and harmonize the definition.	Accepted
0408 FR		2300		ed	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition is in the paragraph 2.3.10 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.4.9” by “R050-1:2014, 2.3.10” and harmonize the definition.	Accepted
0409 FR		2301		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.11 but T.2.11.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.11” by “R144-1:2013, 2.11”.	Accepted
0410 FR		2307		ed	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the same definition is in the paragraph 2.3.2 and there is a new note instead of the end of the title between the brackets.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.4.2.” by “R050-1:2014, 2.3.2” and harmonize the definition 2.3.2 and add the note “The weigh length is not applicable to belt weighers inclusive of conveyor”.	Accepted

1 Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0411 FR		2308		ed	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the same definition is in the paragraph 2.2.1.1.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.2.1.1.” by “R050-1:2014, 2.2.1.1”.	Accepted
0412 FR		2310		te	In the revision R134-1:2006, the definition is different and is in the paragraph T.2.2.	Please replace “R134:2003, T.1.6” by “R134-1:2006, T.2.2” and the definition by “ zone of the road comprising the load receptor with aprons in advance of and beyond each end of the load receptor in the direction of travel of the vehicle being weighed.”	Accepted
0413 FR		2311		ed	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is slightly different and is in the paragraph 3.1.4.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.1.5.” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.1.4” and harmonize the definition.	Accepted
0414 FR		2315		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is different and in the paragraph 3.4.5.	Please replace “R61-1:2004, T.” by “R61-1:20XX, 3.4.5” and the definition by “ the combination of operations including: a) delivery of material to the load receptor(s), b) a weighing operation, and c) the discharge of a single discrete load after the completion of which the AGFI is in its initial state.”	Accepted
0415 FR		2316		ed	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of “weighing cycle” does not exist.	Please harmonize with R 50-1:2014 and delete the line.	Accepted
0416 FR		2318		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition is in the paragraph 2.1.1 and is different. Moreover, there is a note.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.1.1.” by “R050-1:2014, 2.1.1.” and harmonize the definition and the note. .	Accepted
0417 FR		2320		te	In the R134-1:2006, the definition is in the same paragraph but is different. Moreover, there is a note.	Please replace “R134:2003” by “R134-1:2006” and harmonize the definition and the note.	Accepted
0418 FR		2323		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is different and is in the paragraph 3.1.5.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.1.6” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.1.5” and the definition by “measuring instrument used to determine the mass of a body by using the action of gravity on the body. According to its method of operation, a weighing instrument is classified as an automatic (3.2.1) or non-automatic instrument.”	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0419 FR		2330		ge	In the R134-1:2006, the same definition is in the same paragraph.	Please replace “R134:2003” by “R134-1:2006”.	Accepted
0420 FR		2333		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition is in the paragraph 2.2.2.2. and is different. the rollers by means of which the conveyor belt is supported on the load receptor.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T.3.2.2.” by “R050-1:2014, 2.2.2.2.” and harmonize the definition.	Accepted
0421 FR		2334		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition of “weighing unit” does not exist.	Please harmonize with the new R61.	Accepted
0422 FR		2335		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition of “weighing unit” does not exist. The definition of “weighing module” is quite the same.	Please harmonize with the new R50.	Accepted
0423 FR		2336		te	In the R134-1:2006, the definition is in the same paragraph but is different.	Please replace “R134:2003” by “R134-1:2006” and the definition by “process of determining the vehicle mass, the axle load, and if applicable, the axle-group load of a moving vehicle (i.e. a vehicle crossing over the load receptor of the weighing instrument) by measurement and analysis of the dynamic vehicle tyre forces.”	Accepted
0424 FR		2341		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the same definition of “weight” is in the paragraph 3.1.3. Moreover, there is a note.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.1.4” by “R061-1:20XX, 3.1.3” and add the note “Note: in OIML R 61 “weight” is preferably used for an embodiment (= material measure) of mass that is regulated in regard to its physical and metrological characteristics.”	Accepted
0425 FR		2346		ed	R 105 is no longer referenced. This recommendation has been incorporated in the R 117.	Definition not included in R 117-1 (2007).	Accepted
0426 FR		2364		te	In the R134-1:2006, the definition is in the paragraph T.2.10.1 and is different.	Please replace “R134:2003, T.2.4.1” by “R134-1:2006, T.2.10.1” and the definition by “device for setting the indication to zero when there is no load on the load receptor.”	Accepted
0427 FR		2365		te	In the revision R 50-1:2014, the definition is in the paragraph 2.2.6 and is different. Moreover there is a note.	Please replace “R050-1:1997, T..” by “R050-1:2014, 2.2.6.” and the definition by “device enabling the indication to be set to zero when there is no load on the load receptor” and add the note “Note: Usually obtained over a whole number of revolutions of the empty conveyor belt.”	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0428 FR		2367		te	A new revision of R61 will be published very soon. In the draft, the definition is in the paragraph 3.3.4. and is different.	Please replace “R061-1:2004, T.2.4.” by “ R061-1:20XX, 3.3.4” and the definition by “device for setting the indication to zero when there is no load on the load receptor.”	Accepted
0429 FR		2370		ed	There is a mistake in the reference of the definition it's not T.1.8 but T.2.8.	Replace “R144-1:2013, 1.8” by “R144-1:2013, 2.8”.	Accepted
0430 FR		2374		ge	In the R134-1:2006, the definition of “zero-tracking device” is in the paragraph T.2.10.5 and is slightly different.	Please replace “R134:2003, T.2.4.1.5” by “R134:2006, T.2.10.5” and the definition by “device for maintaining the zero indication within certain limits automatically.”	Accepted

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0431 NL-5		specific; see proposed change column		ed	Out of the total number of records of 2376 in the present draft about 365 of the definitions start with an article, which is a minor conflict	The records concerned are: 1; 2; 4; 8; 14; 17; 20; 24; 42; 80; 88; 92; 95; 135; 136; 148; 157; 158; 166; 192; 196; 200; 201; 207; 217; 230; 234; 236; 238; 239; 246; 248; 267; 268; 274; 284; 294; 295; 310; 311; 314; 315; 319; 320; 325; 334; 336; 358; 370; 376; 383; 387; 388; 390; 391; 408; 426; 428; 429; 439; 441; 444; 446; 447; 451; 455; 478; 482; 486; 492; 494; 496; 501; 502; 503; 504; 512; 520; 530; 531; 534; 537; 544; 547; 548; 564; 574; 577; 583; 600; 606; 608; 609; 626; 627; 629; 632; 633; 636; 637; 639; 644; 649; 651; 656; 670; 678; 687; 692; 695; 699; 718; 723; 724; 744; 747; 748; 774; 778; 779; 783; 786; 810; 813; 814; 816; 820; 823; 832; 833; 837; 839; 843; 853; 856; 864; 865; 870; 871; 874; 884; 891; 898; 899; 902; 905; 907; 908; 910; 918; 923; 928; 932; 935; 936; 947; 948; 956; 965; 968; 972; 981; 998; 999; 1005; 1010; 1011; 1012; 1015; 1016; 1018; 1032; 1036; 1039; 1049; 1051; 1055; 1059; 1060; 1061; 1063; 1091; 1092; 1093; 1095; 1125; 1135; 1137; 1145; 1154; 1161; 1163; 1165; 1170; 1178; 1184; 1185; 1186; 1188; 1192; 1212; 1226; 1229; 1249; 1250; 1252; 1270; 1274; 1277; 1280; 1286; 1294; 1304; 1306; 1339; 1340; 1347; 1353; 1362; 1369; 1370; 1373; 1383; 1385; 1391; 1397; 1411; 1412; 1415; 1421; 1432; 1433; 1437; 1445; 1446; 1456; 1457; 1458; 1472; 1474; 1478; 1490; 1494; 1495; 1497; 1498; 1499; 1503; 1506; 1507; 1518; 1521; 1527; 1531; 1533; 1544; 1558; 1561; 1573; 1580; 1581; 1588; 1591; 1604; 1606; 1614; 1620; 1622; 1623; 1655; 1671; 1681; 1697; 1698; 1699; 1709; 1710; 1711; 1712; 1714; 1716; 1725; 1739; 1741; 1761; 1773; 1781; 1783; 1786; 1789; 1791; 1792; 1793; 1834; 1836; 1854; 1866; 1870; 1874; 1877; 1887; 1892; 1902; 1908; 1921; 1922; 1931; 1946; 1948; 1972; 1978; 1980; 1981; 2004; 2023; 2062; 2063; 2065; 2066; 2070; 2084; 2092; 2114; 2116; 2117; 2120; 2125; 2127; 2136; 2137; 2139; 2142; 2143; 2146; 2148; 2159; 2162; 2164; 2165; 2167; 2181; 2194; 2226; 2231; 2236; 2243; 2244; 2254; 2255; 2258; 2259; 2260; 2280; 2282; 2283; 2300; 2307; 2308; 2316; 2333; 2335; 2344; 2346; 2358; 2367	Noted. This remark should be considered at validation of the OIML terminology. As regards G 18, its role is to expose the current state of the OIML terminology.

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0432 NL-6		specific; see proposed change column		te	26 recorded definitions cannot be considered a definition of a term because these e.g. contain requirements	The records concerned are: 96; 181; 189; 262; 263; 472; 473; 1483; 1952; 1953; 1954; 1956; 1959; 1960; 1962; 1964; 1965; 1966; 1968; 1969; 1970; 1971; 2085; 2312; 2313; 2332	Noted. This remark should be considered at validation of the OIML terminology. As regards G 18, its role is to expose the current state of the OIML terminology.
0433 NL-7		specific; see proposed change column		ge	155 definitions do not restrict to only one phrase being the substitute of the term.	The records concerned are: 11; 12; 13; 16; 68; 69; 71; 72; 73; 90; 91; 97; 101; 118; 120; 121; 142; 150; 153; 179; 185; 186; 204; 209; 224; 229; 255; 256; 257; 269; 270; 272; 273; 277; 317; 327; 361; 366; 367; 368; 373; 374; 384; 385; 392; 398; 409; 410; 412; 421; 430; 438; 458; 459; 460; 461; 463; 487; 488; 491; 500; 573; 578; 579; 580; 582; 584; 590; 591; 592; 611; 614; 615; 616; 617; 618; 619; 620; 621; 622; 672; 691; 749; 764; 835; 858; 879; 955; 978; 1077; 1078; 1079; 1162; 1190; 1191; 1346; 1349; 1350; 1356; 1378; 1379; 1405; 1406; 1407; 1439; 1450; 1468; 1469; 1480; 1482; 1492; 1538; 1540; 1541; 1545; 1574; 1575; 1576; 1577; 1600; 1605; 1766; 1767; 1770; 1779; 1826; 1844; 1845; 1888; 1932; 1934; 1941; 1942; 1943; 1950; 1951; 1961; 1997; 1998; 2005; 2034; 2078; 2094; 2113; 2176; 2192; 2193; 2266; 2318; 2319; 2321; 2322; 2323; 2334; 2343; 2345	Noted. This remark should be considered at validation of the OIML terminology. As regards G 18, its role is to expose the current state of the OIML terminology.

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial